Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 1 of 113

1	Officer."	
2	Regarding this revised risk reduction order,	
3	what essential government functions do you recall?	
4	MR. WALL: Object. Object to form.	
5	THE WITNESS: So this is similar language to	14:50:16
6	earlier health orders where governmental that	
7	goverment "the governmental entity," not "the	
8	governmental health entity." But the governmental	
9	entity determines what would interfere with an	
10	essential governmental function.	14:50:38
11	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
12	Q. Okay. Did an essential government function	
13	at this time include law enforcement?	
14	MR. WALL: Object to form.	
15	THE WITNESS: So it was not my job to make a	14:50:54
16	determination about what was an essential government	
17	function. That would be determined by the	
18	governmental entity, not by the health officer.	
19	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
20	Q. Well, I'm asking what what do you recall?	14:51:11
21	Do you recall law enforcement being deemed an	
22	essential government function at the time this order	
23	was issued?	
24	MR. WALL: Object to form.	
25	THE WITNESS: Just just for clarity, I	14:51:26

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 2 of 113

4		
1	can recall what activities may have been going on,	
2	but I would not have been involved in determining	
3	what was what the government needed to do to	
4	perform their essential function.	
5	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	14:51:45
6	Q. Okay. And so just to confirm, the	
7	government did not have to follow up with the county	
8	health officer to let them know what public health	
9	order they were not following?	
10	MR. WALL: Object to form. Assumes facts.	14:51:59
11	THE WITNESS: The the way the health	
12	orders worked is the the governmental entity would	
13	determine what they could or couldn't do based on	
14	their their charge.	
15	MS. GONDEIRO: Uh-huh.	14:52:20
16	THE WITNESS: And and and so the way	
17	that it would pertain to me, as health officer, would	
18	be in my hat with the Public Health Department in	
19	thinking about what the Public Health Department	
20	needed to do to to perform our essential function.	14:52:34
21	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
22	Q. Okay. But did the government	
23	officials they did not have to it was	
24	completely up to their discretion to determine	
25	whether any of the orders would impede or interfere	14:52:52

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 3 of 113

```
1
     with their essential government function? You did --
              MR. WALL: Object to --
 2
     BY MS. GONDEIRO:
 3
 4
              You were not a part of that determination;
         0.
 5
     is that correct?
                                                              14:53:02
              MR. WALL: Object to form. Order speaks for
 6
 7
     itself.
 8
              THE WITNESS: Yeah. All governmental
     entities were required to follow the health officer
 9
10
     order just like everyone else. Everyone was required
                                                              14:53:11
     to follow these orders because they applied
11
12
     countywide --
13
              MS. GONDEIRO: Uh-huh.
14
              THE WITNESS: -- to everyone.
              So, in government, we had to abide by the
15
                                                              14:53:21
16
     orders just like everyone else, and every other
17
     governmental entity within the County had to abide by
     the rules outlined in the order.
18
19
     BY MS. GONDEIRO:
2.0
         0.
              But if a governmental entity determined that
                                                              14:53:36
     a requirement would impede -- impede or interfere
21
22
     with an essential government function, they did not
23
     con- -- have to confirm with you -- confer with you
24
     to determine whether they had to follow those
25
     requirements; is that correct?
                                                              14:53:53
```

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 4 of 113

]
1	MR. WALL: Object to form.	
2	THE WITNESS: No. The order did not require	
3	them to personally confer with me, nor would it have	
4	been practical for them to personally confer with me.	
5	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	14:54:09
6	Q. So then you're not aware, starting, you	
7	know, in this order since this order in October of	
8	2020, you're not aware of all the governmental	
9	entities that may have determined that a specific	
10	requirement would have impeded or interfered with any	14:54:20
11	of their essential government functions; is that	
12	correct?	
13	MR. WALL: Objection. Assumes facts.	
14	Object to form.	
15	THE WITNESS: So each governmental entity	14:54:29
16	was responsible for ensuring that they followed the	
17	rules, that they followed the health officer order.	
18	And to the extent that there was some activity that	
19	they did where they couldn't, they could make that	
20	determination.	14:54:50
21	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay. Court Reporter, can	
22	you please repeat my last question?	
23	(Record read.)	
24	MR. WALL: Object. Same objections and	
25	object as outside the scope.	14:55:33

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 5 of 113

1	But you can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
2	THE WITNESS: So I would just observe that	
3	there are many different governmental entities with	
4	many different functions within the County. And so	
5	just by way of example, if local law enforcement was	14:55:48
6	trying to arrest somebody, they would not be able to	
7	comply with the 6-foot social distancing rule in	
8	order to bring someone into custody. But it would be	
9	them that would be able to determine, "I cannot	
10	safely make an arrest while maintaining a 6-foot	14:56:09
11	distancing." And so they would be able to, for that	
12	particular function, not abide by the social	
13	distancing rule to carry out that function.	
14	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay. We can stop here.	
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Alrighty. This marks the	14:56:34
16	end of Volume I, Media 2, in the deposition of	
17	Dr. Sara Cody on August 18th, 2022.	
18	Going off the record. The time is 2:56.	
19	(Recess taken.)	
20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. This marks	15:14:39
21	the start of Volume I, Media 3, in the deposition of	
22	Dr. Sara Cody on August 18th, 2022.	
23	The time on the monitor is 3:14.	
24	MS. GONDEIRO: Dan, can you please scroll	
25	down to Section 6(c)? Or 6(c).	15:14:56

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 6 of 113

		7
1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Oh, sorry.	
2	MS. GONDEIRO: 6(c), so I think it's up.	
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.	
4	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
5	Q. So this section defines what a gathering is	15:15:16
6	and then defines what a gathering is not, pursuant to	
7	the County's definition.	
8	Did the County have evidence and I'm	
9	going to be clear: When I say "evidence," I don't	
10	mean theories; I mean evidence like case reports	15:15:35
11	that the entities deemed to be a gathering were	
12	spreading COVID-19 at a higher rate than the entities	
13	deemed to be a non-gathering?	
14	MR. WALL: Object to form, including how	
15	you your reference to "entities" and "gatherings."	15:15:57
16	MS. GONDEIRO: Well, she lists some entities	
17	here.	
18	MR. WALL: I the I won't I won't	
19	characterize the order for the witness, but I think	
20	the order speaks for itself. And I object to form.	15:16:18
21	But, Dr. Cody, you can answer the question	
22	if you if you understand it.	
23	THE WITNESS: Okay. Would would you	
24	restate the question, and I'll do my best to answer.	
25	////	15:16:25
		1

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 7 of 113

1	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
2	Q. Okay. Well, first, I'm just going to	
3	preface with, when I say "evidence," I'm talking	
4	about case reports, you know, facts that the County	
5	had, data that the County had.	15:16:38
6	So I'm going to now repeat the question.	
7	What evidence did the County have that the	
8	activities defined and/or entities defined as a	
9	gathering were spreading COVID-19 at an at a	
10	higher rate than the activities and/or entities	15:17:01
11	defined as a non-gathering?	
12	MR. WALL: Object to form.	
13	THE WITNESS: The we did not we did	
14	not find a way to group data in that way to be able	
15	to give you the information that I that I think	15:17:32
16	you're asking for. What we did know was many reports	
17	from elsewhere about outbreaks from gatherings. For	
18	whatever reason, groups of people coming together and	
19	creating super-spreader events, one I mentioned	
20	earlier with singing at a church performance, and	15:18:00
21	they were also gathering for other reasons with	
22	reports of super-spreader events.	
23	So we certainly had that evidence. And	
24	knowing what we know about how the virus spreads,	
25	when you bring groups of people together, you create	15:18:21

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 8 of 113

conditions where the virus can spread.	
BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
Q. Pursuant to this section, would a food	
processing plant be considered a non-gathering?	
MR. WALL: Object to form to the extent it	15:18:39
calls for a legal conclusion.	
THE WITNESS: A food processing plant would	
be a worksite.	
BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
Q. Okay. And let's here.	15:18:49
Medical offices would also it says	
medical offices are also not a non-gathering.	
What about day camps, were they considered a	
non-gathering as well?	
A. Day camps were considered separately and did	15:19:10
not fall under our definition of "gathering."	
Q. And why is that?	
A. We were thinking about them more similarly	
to schools or other programs serving serving	
children.	15:19:35
Q. Do were there outbreaks occurring at	
child care facilities since April of 2020?	
A. There have been outbreaks at child care	
facilities between April 2020 and present.	
Q. Okay. Is it true that COVID-19 is spread	15:20:08
	BY MS. GONDEIRO: Q. Pursuant to this section, would a food processing plant be considered a non-gathering? MR. WALL: Object to form to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: A food processing plant would be a worksite. BY MS. GONDEIRO: Q. Okay. And let's here. Medical offices would also it says medical offices are also not a non-gathering. What about day camps, were they considered a non-gathering as well? A. Day camps were considered separately and did not fall under our definition of "gathering." Q. And why is that? A. We were thinking about them more similarly to schools or other programs serving serving children. Q. Do were there outbreaks occurring at child care facilities since April of 2020? A. There have been outbreaks at child care facilities between April 2020 and present.

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 9 of 113

1	mainly through respiratory droplets?	
2	A. COVID-19 is spread, we now think, primarily	
3	through aerosols with some degree of spread from	
4	respiratory droplets. So it's mostly spread in the	
5	air by various-sized particles containing the virus.	15:20:26
6	Q. Okay. COVID-19 is considered a respiratory	
7	virus; correct?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. Okay. If that is the case, does the air	
10	quality or ventilation system in a building	15:20:40
11	contribute to the spread of COVID-19?	
12	A. One of the ways to mitigate harms from	
13	COVID-19 is to improve ventilation, and outdoors	
14	provides a natural ventilation.	
15	Q. What if what if a indoor facility had	15:21:06
16	natural air flowing through its building, would that	
17	be considered an effective ventilation system?	
18	A. One way that a so every facility is	
19	different; right?	
20	Q. Uh-huh.	15:21:25
21	A. Different dimensions, ceiling height	
22	Q. Uh-huh.	
23	A extraordinary variety. The more that	
24	doors and windows are open and that natural	
25	ventilation can occur, the safer it would be from a	15:21:39
		1

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 10 of 113

1	COVID perspective.	
2	Q. What about how high the ceilings are in a	
3	building, does that contribute to the spread of	
4	COVID-19 or can it contribute to the spread of	
5	COVID-19?	15:21:55
6	A. A a high-risk situation for the spread of	
7	COVID-19 would be an enclosed space where there is	
8	little to no air movement because the aerosols would	
9	persist for longer and in a more concentrated	
10	fashion.	15:22:13
11	Q. Okay. So would high ceilings improve the	
12	air quality?	
13	MR. WALL: Object to form.	
14	THE WITNESS: High ceilings would contribute	
15	to additional air space	15:22:28
16	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay.	
17	THE WITNESS: yes.	
18	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
19	Q. Sorry. I'm not a these medical terms are	
20	kind of kind of diffi difficult for me.	15:22:37
21	Why didn't the County consider building	
22	space or occupancy space or the air quality of a	
23	building when implementing this order?	
24	MR. WALL: Object to form. Assumes facts.	
25	THE WITNESS: Broadly, the County did in	15:23:00

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 11 of 113

1		1
1	that, whenever possible, we either required or	
2	encouraged activities to be outdoors. The infinite	
3	variety of interiors of buildings is extraordinarily	
4	difficult to to define and make rules about.	
5	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	15:23:30
6	Q. Okay. So just to summarize, the County did	
7	not consider building capacity for air quality for	
8	indoor facilities because it was difficult to	
9	regulate; is that correct?	
10	MR. WALL: Objection. Misstates testimony.	15:23:49
11	Assumes facts.	
12	THE WITNESS: That's that's not a correct	
13	characterization of of what I said.	
14	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
15	Q. Okay. So what did you mean?	15:23:56
16	A. That our our rules were guided by a	
17	number of different principles to improve safety and	
18	protect people. Ventilation is one of them.	
19	Q. Uh-huh.	
20	A. For that reason, we always either required	15:24:13
21	or recommended outdoor activities over indoor	
22	activities.	
23	Q. Uh-huh.	
24	A. We went as far as to define what "outdoors"	
25	meant. For example, to define what outdoor dining	15:24:27
		I

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 12 of 113

1	would look like	
2	Q. Uh-huh.	
3	A as to what it needs to look like. We	
4	talked a lot about improved ventilation. Not every	
5	building could change their ventilation.	15:24:48
6	Q. Uh-huh. Requiring indoor guidance, not	
7	outdoor, why didn't the County consider the air	
8	quality or building occupancy of build of indoor	
9	facilities?	
10	A. We did consider the the occupancy of	15:25:11
11	indoor facilities through ca first, density	
12	limits and then capacity limits.	
13	Q. And what was that specific guidance that	
14	you're referring to?	
15	A. So we would we would either earlier talk	15:25:28
16	about the square footage of a space and how many	
17	people could be in a certain amount of square feet	
18	and later talk about capacity limits, which is a	
19	building's capacity and what percent of capacity	
20	could be occupied.	15:25:51
21	Q. Are you are you referring to the	
22	directive on capacity limitations right now?	
23	A. There we had a directive on capacity	
24	limits.	
25	Q. Okay.	15:26:09

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 13 of 113

1	A. And in early orders, we also talked about	
2	and in other directives about the density and/or	
3	capacity of a space.	
4	Q. Okay. So I'll go back to that later.	
5	MS. GONDEIRO: Dan, can you scroll down to	15:26:23
6	Section 5?	
7	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
8	Q. So it says, "In addition to complying with	
9	the pro-" "all provisions of this Order, all	
10	individuals and entities, including all businesses	15:26:46
11	and governmental entities, must also follow any	
12	applicable directives issued by the County Health	
13	Officer and any applicable 'COVID-19 Industry	
14	Guidance' issued by the California Department of	
15	Public Health."	15:27:03
16	Are you aware that starting in April of	
17	2020, California the State of California did not	
18	have or did not require entertainment studios to	
19	follow COVID-19 orders?	
20	MR. WALL: Object to form. Object object	15:27:24
21	to form.	
22	You can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
23	THE WITNESS: So I'm aware that the State of	
24	California provided industry guidance for a number of	
25	different industries on their website and that that	15:27:36

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 14 of 113

guidance evolved over time.	
BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
Q. Are you aware of any industry guidance	
related to entertainment studios produced by the	
State of California?	15:27:54
A. Yes. I I do recall they did have a	
guidance that pertained to I can't recall the	
exact title of the guidance, but I believe it re	
it pertained to entertainment.	
Q. What date was that guidance issued?	15:28:12
A. I don't I don't know.	
Q. And what was the content of that, that you	
remember, of that guidance?	
A. I don't recall much about the content of	
that guidance.	15:28:24
Q. What do you recall?	
A. I mean, I can just recall that there was	
guidance that existed. We don't have a lot of that	
industry in our county, so I may not have paid as	
much attention to that guidance.	15:28:40
Q. Do you have some industry in the County,	
though some entertainment studios in the County?	
MR. WALL: Object. Object to form. Beyond	
the scope.	
But you can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	15:28:52
	BY MS. GONDEIRO: Q. Are you aware of any industry guidance related to entertainment studios produced by the State of California? A. Yes. I I do recall they did have a guidance that pertained to I can't recall the exact title of the guidance, but I believe it reit pertained to entertainment. Q. What date was that guidance issued? A. I don't I don't know. Q. And what was the content of that, that you remember, of that guidance? A. I don't recall much about the content of that guidance. Q. What do you recall? A. I mean, I can just recall that there was guidance that existed. We don't have a lot of that industry in our county, so I may not have paid as much attention to that guidance. Q. Do you have some industry in the County, though some entertainment studios in the County? MR. WALL: Object. Object to form. Beyond the scope.

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 15 of 113

		7
1	THE WITNESS: You know, I can't think of one	
2	off the top of my head. Doesn't mean there aren't	
3	any. I can't I can't think of one.	
4	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
5	Q. Did did the County follow the the	15:29:05
6	State's guidance related to entertainment studios	
7	since October of 2020?	
8	MR. WALL: Object to form and beyond the	
9	scope.	
10	You can answer, Dr. Cody.	15:29:18
11	THE WITNESS: We would always instruct that	
12	any guidance that the any sector or anyone would	
13	need to follow both County and State guidance and	
14	follow whichever was stricter and that that would	
15	dictate what needed needed to be done to be	15:29:37
16	compliant.	
17	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
18	Q. Yes, I I can read what the what this	
19	section says.	
20	What I'm asking you is, did the County	15:29:45
21	follow the State's guidance for entertainment studios	
22	starting in April of 2020?	
23	MR. WALL: Object to form. Beyond the	
24	scope.	
25	THE WITNESS: If the County had an	15:30:00
		1

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 16 of 113

		1
1	entertainment studio, they would have to we would	
2	have to follow the State guidance if that's	
3	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
4	Q. Okay. Thank you.	
5	A if that's the question.	15:30:10
6	Q. Thank you.	
7	Are you aware that in the State's face	
8	covering guidance or, first of all, are you aware	
9	that the State implemented a face covering guidance	
10	around November of 2020?	15:30:25
11	A. Yes. I thought it was earlier.	
12	Q. Yes.	
13	Well, are you aware in that in that	
14	guidance that the State exempted persons for whom	
15	wearing a mask face covering guidance would create	15:30:41
16	a risk to the person related to the work their	
17	work as determined by local, state, or federal	
18	regulators or workplace safety guidelines?	
19	MR. WALL: Object to form. Beyond the	
20	scope.	15:30:58
21	THE WITNESS: I don't recall the particular	
22	language in the State's face covering guidance from	
23	November 2020.	
24	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
25	Q. Did the County have any language like this	15:31:05

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 17 of 113

		1
1	in their County face covering guidance that provided	
2	exemptions for people who wearing a face covering	
3	would create a risk to their work?	
4	MR. WALL: Object to form. Vague as to time	
5	frame.	15:31:24
6	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
7	Q. At any point during the COVID-19 pandemic,	
8	did the County include in their face covering	
9	guidances or mandates an exemption for persons for	
10	whom wearing a face covering would create a risk to	15:31:39
11	the person related to their work?	
12	MR. WALL: Object to form.	
13	THE WITNESS: What I do recall is that we	
14	did have some exceptions for face coverings. So, for	
15	example, if someone was very young, if they couldn't	15:31:56
16	tolerate a mask for medical reasons, and/or if it	
17	would provide a safety issue like they were working	
18	with machine tools or something	
19	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay.	
20	THE WITNESS: and it was going to get in	15:32:18
21	the way. I don't recall how we stated the language,	
22	but we could	
23	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
24	Q. Okay. And so it was up to the the local	
25	regulators or workplace safety guidelines to	15:32:31

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 18 of 113

1	determine whether that person had to wear a face	
2	covering; is that is that correct?	
3	MR. WALL: Object to form.	
4	THE WITNESS: So in many industries, they	
5	would have been had to com you know, comply	15:32:45
6	with other you know, other regulations. So I	
7	can't detail how those how those would all all	
8	interact.	
9	I mean, our our overall concern was that	
10	as many people as could safely wear a safe cover	15:33:01
11	a face covering when around others did so to protect	
12	themselves and those around them and the and the	
13	community.	
14	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
15	Q. Uh-huh. Are there any other examples you	15:33:15
16	can provide me, starting in April of 2020, of	
17	industries or people for whom wearing a face covering	
18	would create a risk to that person related to their	
19	work?	
20	MR. WALL: Object to form. Beyond the	15:33:39
21	scope.	
22	THE WITNESS: You know, I think that if	
23	there was a risk of I can't create a fire	
24	hazard or create a strangulation hazard or, you know,	
25	some other some other safety hazard, they	15:33:58

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 19 of 113

1		7
1	wouldn't shouldn't wear them couldn't wear them	
2	or if they couldn't wear them with some other	
3	equipment that they were required to use.	
4	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
5	Q. Can you provide me examples of of a	15:34:11
6	worker who would not be able to wear a face covering	
7	because of the equipment they had to wear?	
8	MR. WALL: Object to form, including beyond	
9	the scope and calls for speculation.	
10	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	15:34:27
11	I can't I can't think of an example off	
12	the off the top of my head of the whole universe	
13	of workers who would need to wear a mask.	
14	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
15	Q. Okay. Can can you recall you	15:34:38
16	mentioned strangulation.	
17	Can you recall the type of worker who would	
18	not have to wear a face covering due to the risk of	
19	strangulation?	
20	A. No. I was thinking more of the perhaps I	15:34:58
21	shouldn't have used that as an example, but if if	
22	a worker was doing some kind of work where the work	
23	would their face covering could come come in	
24	contact with some, you know, machinery of some kind.	
25	I don't know I don't know what that would be.	15:35:17

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 20 of 113

1	Again, it was not my job to think through or	
2	be able to know the unknowable about all of the	
3	different scenarios that might that might occur.	
4	Q. Why was it not your job?	
5	MR. WALL: Object to form. Argumentative.	15:35:35
6	THE WITNESS: My job was to think about,	
7	broadly, what did we need to do to protect the public	
8	from risk and to issue the guidance as clearly and as	
9	simply as we could.	
10	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay. We'll we're going	15:36:04
11	to go to the next slide.	
12	Actually, no, that is not the next slide.	
13	Wait. Yes, I think this is it. Can you	
14	scroll down? Yes.	
15	MR. WALL: Which which exhibit is this,	15:36:30
16	Mariah?	
17	MS. GONDEIRO: I think what exhibit are	
18	we on? I think we're on 21.	
19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 22 is this one. 21 was	
20	the last one.	15:36:40
21	MS. GONDEIRO: Yeah, 22.	
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yeah, it is oh, wait.	
23	That was I'm all confused. This is the last	
24	one was 20, and then this is the next one is 21.	
25	This would be 22, I think.	15:36:55
		1

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 21 of 113

1		1
1	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay.	
2	(Exhibit 22 was marked for identification.)	
3	MR. WALL: 22 is the State's COVID-19	
4	Industry Guidance?	
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.	15:37:07
6	MS. GONDEIRO: Yes.	
7	MR. WALL: Okay.	
8	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
9	Q. Dr. Cody, do you recall this State COVID-19	
10	Industry Guidance for places of worship?	15:37:16
11	A. I don't recall it in in in any detail.	
12	I do have it open.	
13	Q. Okay. Do you recall it generally?	
14	MR. WALL: Object to form.	
15	THE WITNESS: Very generally, sure.	15:37:35
16	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
17	Q. Okay. Did the County have their own	
18	specific guidance for places of worship during the	
19	COVID-19 pandemic?	
20	A. Our our guidance, as I as I mentioned,	15:37:44
21	we sought to be as as clear and simple and even as	
22	possible. So to the extent that people were	
23	gathering to to worship or practice their	
24	religion, they would have fallen under our gatherings	
25	directive.	15:38:08

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 22 of 113

1	Q. So was the were people in Santa Clara	
2	County required to follow this State industry	
3	guidance related to places of worship?	
4	MR. WALL: Object to form, including	
5	outside beyond the scope and to the extent it	15:38:19
6	calls for a legal conclusion.	
7	THE WITNESS: Yeah. People in our county	
8	would have been required to follow whichever	
9	guidance the the stricter of the guidance	
10	would would control. So if our gatherings	15:38:37
11	guidance they would was stricter in a scenario	
12	than the State guidance, then they would follow	
13	County gathering guidance.	
14	If if they were gathering for purposes of	
15	worship, religious services, or some other cultural	15:38:53
16	ceremony, they would fall under the gatherings	
17	guidance.	
18	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
19	Q. Okay. So while at a gathering, people had	
20	to wear a mask at all times pursuant to the County's	15:39:08
21	gathering directives; correct?	
22	MR. WALL: Objection. Assumes facts.	
23	THE WITNESS: For most of the it depends	
24	on the time period of the pandemic, but and	
25	depends on whether it was indoors or outdoors.	15:39:27

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 23 of 113

		1
1	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
2	Q. Okay. Well, starting in July of 2020.	
3	A. In July of 2020, per the gatherings	
4	guidance, no indoor gatherings were allowed.	
5	Q. So even when when indoor gatherings were	15:39:51
6	allowed, a gathering did the did the gatherings	
7	directive ever allow people to remove their masks	
8	during a gathering?	
9	A. Indoor gatherings, masks required at indoor	
10	gatherings. And depending on the time, there were	15:40:10
11	different limits on how many people could safely	
12	gather.	
13	Q. Okay. But when gatherings were allowed	
14	indoors, people within Santa Clara County had to wear	
15	a mask?	15:40:31
16	MR. WALL: Objection. Object to form.	
17	You can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, but during times when	
19	trans so certainly in July, at times when	
20	transmission was high, there were no indoor	15:40:46
21	gatherings. Later, when there they were	
22	permitted, there were different measures in place	
23	such as masking.	
24	MS. GONDEIRO: Dan, did we skip over	
25	Exhibit 21?	15:41:12
		i .

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 24 of 113

1		
1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We did.	
2	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay.	
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go back to	
4	it?	
5	MS. GONDEIRO: Yes.	15:41:20
6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Sorry.	
7	MS. GONDEIRO: That's okay.	
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here we go.	
9	(Exhibit 21 was marked for identification.)	
10	MS. GONDEIRO: Can you go yes. There we	15:41:26
11	go.	
12	And could you scroll down to page 3?	
13	And just for the record, this is the	
14	Mandatory Directive for Construction Projects issued	
15	by Santa Clara County.	15:42:03
16	Okay. You can stop here.	
17	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
18	Q. So, Dr. Cody, I'm going to direct you to the	
19	section highlighted as "Face Coverings."	
20	A. This is within the construction projects	15:42:29
21	Q. Yes.	
22	A mandatory directive?	
23	Okay.	
24	Q. So it says, at the bottom, the last	
25	paragraph sentence, it says, "Face coverings must	15:42:42

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 25 of 113

1	be worn even while working at a construction project	
2	unless if it would create a risk to the person	
3	related to their work, in accordance with local,	
4	state, or federal workplace safety guidance [sic]."	
5	Earlier you had mentioned that an example	15:43:03
6	would be people using machinery; is that correct?	
7	A. Yes.	
8	Q. Would that include machinery that is used at	
9	a construction site?	
10	A. I could I could I could only	15:43:20
11	speculate. I don't I don't this is not a	
12	Q. Yeah.	
13	A an area I would have expertise.	
14	Q. Yes. So in general, though, this this	
15	this sentence right here allowed construction sites	15:43:33
16	to determine for themselves whether they believed	
17	wearing a face covering would create a risk to their	
18	job; is that correct?	
19	MR. WALL: Object to form.	
20	THE WITNESS: No. Maybe state, local, or	15:43:48
21	federal workplace safety guidelines that they would	
22	need to adhere to in addition to the guidance for use	
23	of face coverings.	
24	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
25	Q. Okay.	15:44:03

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 26 of 113

1	A. So they would need to comply with both.	
2	Q. Okay. But let's say local, state, or	
3	federal okay.	
4	Was there any local workplace safety	
5	guidelines you can recall regarding construction	15:44:20
6	sites?	
7	MR. WALL: Object to form. Beyond the	
8	scope.	
9	You can answer, Dr. Cody.	
10	THE WITNESS: I cannot think of a local	15:44:29
11	safety guideline that pertains to construction, but I	
12	am not that doesn't mean it doesn't exist. I	
13	can't think of think of	
14	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay.	
15	THE WITNESS: any local construction.	15:44:46
16	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
17	Q. Did you ever review any of these federal	
18	workplace safety guidelines before you issued this	
19	order?	
20	A. Federal workplace safety guidelines with	15:44:58
21	regards to construction?	
22	Q. Local, state, or federal workplace safety	
23	guidelines in regards to construction, yes.	
24	MR. WALL: Object to form. Beyond the	
25	scope.	15:45:11
		1

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 27 of 113

]
1	THE WITNESS: I don't recall personally	
2	reviewing federal, state, or local construction	
3	safety guidelines.	
4	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
5	Q. Did construction sites when this when	15:45:37
6	this order was issued, did construction sites have to	
7	con confer with the County to let them know if	
8	they believed wearing a face covering would create a	
9	risk to the person related to their work?	
10	A. No. The requirement was that they follow	15:45:58
11	the guidelines, was the requirement. Follow the	
12	state, local, and federal guidelines.	
13	Q. In addition but also, they did not have	
14	to wear a face covering guidance if it would create a	
15	risk to that person; correct?	15:46:17
16	MR. WALL: Object. Object to form.	
17	Misstates testimony. The directive speaks for	
18	itself.	
19	But you can answer, Dr. Cody.	
20	THE WITNESS: Right. Face coverings were	15:46:27
21	required at construction worksites unless it would	
22	create a a risk. So they had to comply with the	
23	face covering guidance and workplace safety	
24	guidelines as well.	
25	////	15:46:58
		1

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 28 of 113

1	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
2	Q. Did the County follow the State's guidance	
3	on personal care services, or did they have their own	
4	guidance for personal care services at any point	
5	during the COVID-19 pandemic?	15:47:08
6	MR. WALL: Object to form.	
7	THE WITNESS: The we had a directive for	
8	personal care services in the County.	
9	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
10	Q. Okay. Do do facial facial services	15:47:23
11	constitute personal care services?	
12	A. Yes.	
13	Q. Okay. Do companies supplying makeup artists	
14	constitute fa personal care services?	
15	A. I don't I I don't recall whether they	15:47:47
16	would fall under under that directive or not.	
17	Q. Okay. What about what about a service	
18	that provides eyebrow waxing or would that be	
19	considered a personal care service?	
20	MR. WALL: Object. Object to form,	15:48:04
21	including incomplete hypothetical.	
22	But you can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
23	THE WITNESS: Yes. A business that provided	
24	eyebrow waxing, I think, would be considered a	
25	personal care service and fall under that directive.	15:48:18

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 29 of 113

1	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
2	Q. What about services that provide manicures	
3	and pedicures, would they be considered a personal	
4	care service pursuant to your guidance?	
5	MR. WALL: Same objections.	15:48:31
6	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
7	Q. Pursuant to the County's guidance.	
8	A. Pursuant to the County's the County's	
9	directive for personal care services, yes, I believe	
10	that would apply to businesses that provide manicures	15:48:41
11	and pedicures.	
12	Q. What about services that provide massages,	
13	would they be considered a personal care service	
14	pursuant to the County's guidance?	
15	MR. WALL: Same objections.	15:49:07
16	THE WITNESS: I don't specifically recall,	
17	but I I don't think that they fell under that	
18	directive.	
19	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
20	Q. What directive would they have fallen under?	15:49:18
21	A. I don't I don't recall. There were so	
22	many details during during the pandemic, and	
23	that's a detail I I don't recall.	
24	Q. Okay. Did the County follow the State's	
25	guidance on hair salons at any point during the	15:49:35

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 30 of 113

1		1
1	COVID-19 pandemic, or did they have their separate	
2	guidance?	
3	MR. WALL: Object to form. Out outside	
4	the scope.	
5	THE WITNESS: Again, I don't I don't	15:49:47
6	recall, but I think that salons may have fallen under	
7	the personal care directive.	
8	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
9	Q. Okay. And so when personal care services	
10	were open in the County during the COVID-19	15:50:02
11	pandemic or were allowed to be open during the	
12	COVID-19 pandemic, were workers who perform	
13	haircutting services allowed to stand within 6 feet	
14	of distance to perform their service?	
15	MR. WALL: Object to form.	15:50:19
16	THE WITNESS: They were required to wear	
17	face coverings. There were limitations on how many	
18	people could be in the space, as I recall. But they	
19	would not be able to perform the service and maintain	
20	a 6-foot distance given the normal length of	15:50:40
21	someone's arms.	
22	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
23	Q. Sure. So just to confirm, when personal	
24	when hair salons were allowed to be open, they were	
25	allowed to stand within 6 feet of distance, because	15:50:53

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 31 of 113

		1
1	no one's arms are longer than 6 feet, while they were	
2	cutting hair?	
3	A. That's right. And so there would need to be	
4	other mitigation and safety measures in place to	
5	ensure the safety of a client and and the worker	15:51:09
6	in the hair salon.	
7	Q. Did the County during the COVID-19	
8	pandemic, did the County allow and when	
9	restaurants were open indoors, did the County allow	
10	individuals to remove their mask while eating and	15:51:34
11	drinking?	
12	A. So the indoor dining was not permitted until	
13	fairly late and only briefly in 2020, as I recall.	
14	Q. Okay.	
15	A. And there were many rules in place that	15:51:59
16	governed indoor dining. Because someone cannot eat	
17	and drink with a mask on, they were permitted to	
18	remove their mask to eat and drink but keep their	
19	mask on during any other time in the in the dining	
20	facility, including when waiting for food and after	15:52:23
21	finishing eating.	
22	Q. Did the County have evidence, starting in	
23	March of 2020 or at any point during the COVID-19	
24	pandemic, that people who are sitting to eat and	
25	drink at a restaurant do not spread COVID-19 as	15:52:43
		1

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 32 of 113

		1
1	easily as people who are standing up and walking	
2	around?	
3	MR. WALL: Object to form. Incomplete	
4	hypothetical. Beyond the scope.	
5	But you can answer the question, Dr. Cody,	15:52:54
6	if you understand it.	
7	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't quite understand	
8	the question. If you could restate it.	
9	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
10	Q. Did the County have evidence during the	15:53:02
11	COVID-19 pandemic that people who are sitting and	
12	just eating and drinking do not spread COVID-19 as	
13	easily as people who are walking around or or	
14	standing up in a restaurant and just walking around?	
15	MR. WALL: Same objections.	15:53:30
16	THE WITNESS: Yeah. We wouldn't have had	
17	any way to collect evidence to compare the two	
18	scenarios that you describe, and I don't know quite	
19	how to quantify people who are up and walking around	
20	because it's sort of it entirely would depend on	15:53:47
21	the context as to what the COVID risk would be.	
22	MS. GONDEIRO: Uh-huh.	
23	I think we can go to I think it would be	
24	Exhibit 23. Must be.	
25	(Exhibit 23 was marked for identification.)	15:54:10
		l

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 33 of 113

		ĺ
1	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay. Go ahead and scroll	
2	down to Figure 8.	
3	MR. WALL: Let the I just want the record	
4	to reflect that Exhibit 23 appears to be two pages	
5	from a larger document.	15:54:29
6	MS. GONDEIRO: Yes. It's it's from a	
7	special investigation report.	
8	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
9	Q. Dr. Cody, do you recall ever seeing this	
10	this figure, Figure 8	15:54:39
11	A. Yeah, I'm just	
12	Q the distribution of outbreaks by month in	
13	Santa Clara County?	
14	A. I'm just I've just got it just got it	
15	pulled up so I can see the whole document or part	15:54:51
16	of part of the document.	
17	And so, I'm sorry, can you ask your	
18	questions again? I'm now ready.	
19	Q. Yeah.	
20	Do you recall this this this graph,	15:55:00
21	Figure 8?	
22	A. Generally.	
23	Q. Generally?	
24	A. Yeah, generally.	
25	Q. So so based upon this this graph,	15:55:15

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 34 of 113

1	would you say that the entities that were spreading	
2	more COVID-19 cases were factory and manu	
3	manufacturing plants and retail?	
4	MR. WALL: Object to form.	
5	THE WITNESS: I I couldn't make that	15:55:41
6	conclusion from looking at this graph.	
7	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
8	Q. So in in January of 2020, do you see	
9	do you agree that this graph demonstrates that there	
10	were COVID-19 cases in food service and restaurants?	15:56:09
11	A. Food service is the peach color.	
12	Q. Yes.	
13	A. What this graph shows us is the distribution	
14	of reported outbreaks, as defined there, as reported	
15	to us through a particular portal. And so that means	15:56:40
16	that someone has to recognize that it's there and to	
17	report it to us. So what's reported and what's	
18	actual can be different. So I just want to be	
19	precise that these are reported outbreaks.	
20	Q. Okay.	15:57:02
21	A. They may not represent the whole of	
22	outbreaks or transmission.	
23	Q. Okay. Well, based off of the reported	
24	outbreaks, in January of 2020, there were reported	
25	outbreaks at food service and restaurants; is that	15:57:17

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 35 of 113

1	correct?	
2	A. There were reported outbreaks, as as we	
3	can see in the pale orange, correct.	
4	Q. Okay. Were restaurants open or allowed to	
5	be open in January of 2020? Or no. Sorry. January	15:57:33
6	2021.	
7	A. What is the year of this?	
8	Q. I think above it says "2021."	
9	A. 2021.	
10	MR. WALL: Object. Object to form.	15:57:55
11	But you can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
12	THE WITNESS: So food service/restaurant, in	
13	January 2021, indoor dining would not have been open.	
14	So these might be like among kitchen workers who are	
15	preparing food for takeout and pickup, for example.	15:58:17
16	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
17	Q. Okay. What about in in February of 2021,	
18	were restaurants open then?	
19	A. No. Indoor dining would not have been open	
20	then.	15:58:35
21	Q. When was when was indoor dining open?	
22	A. Well, January and February, we still had a	
23	lot of COVID. I don't recall exactly when indoor	
24	dining opened. It certainly would not have been in	
25	January, February, and and likely not in March	15:58:55

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 36 of 113

		1
1	either.	
2	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay. I think what time	
3	is it? Is it is it have we how long have we	
4	been going?	
5	MR. WALL: It's 4:00. I'm sure the witness	15:59:09
6	and counsel and the reporter and everyone would enjoy	
7	a break.	
8	MS. GONDEIRO: Yeah. We'll take a sh	
9	we'll take a ten-minute break.	
10	Is that okay with everyone?	15:59:18
11	MR. WALL: That's fine. Thank you, Mariah.	
12	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay.	
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're going	
14	off the record. The time is 3:59.	
15	(Recess taken.)	16:16:35
16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record.	
17	The time is 4:16.	
18	You're muted, Mariah.	
19	MR. WALL: Mariah, can you hear us?	
20	MS. GONDEIRO: Sorry. I was taking a nap.	16:17:09
21	No, I'm just kidding.	
22	MR. WALL: So back on the record.	
23	Dr. Cody has some testimony that she'd like	
24	to clarify from the prior hour.	
25	MS. GONDEIRO: Sure.	16:17:20

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 37 of 113

1	We're not on the record right now, are we?	
2	MR. WALL: We are on the record.	
3	MS. GONDEIRO: Oh, yes. Okay.	
4	THE WITNESS: Yes. I wanted to clarify	
5	regarding my response to one of your questions around	16:17:27
6	the gathering directive where you were asking about	
7	instances where face cov was there any instances	
8	where face coverings could be removed at an indoor	
9	gathering?	
10	And there actually was one exception which	16:17:44
11	is, at an indoor gathering, face covering could be	
12	removed for a religious ceremony where it was	
13	necessary to remove a face covering for that. So,	
14	for example, communion would require you to remove a	
15	face covering and and that would be allowed per	16:18:04
16	the gathering directive.	
17	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
18	Q. Okay. Did it allow did the gatherings	
19	directive allow congregants to remove their mask	
20	while singing and chanting?	16:18:25
21	A. No, not indoors, because of the risks of	
22	COVID.	
23	Q. Uh-huh.	
24	MS. GONDEIRO: Can you please go to the next	
25	slide or the next exhibit, Dan?	16:18:47

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 38 of 113

		1
1	(Exhibit 24 was marked for identification.)	
2	THE WITNESS: Which exhibit number is this?	
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is Exhibit 24.	
4	MS. GONDEIRO: Can you scroll down? I	
5	think oh, yeah, keep scrolling down. I don't know	16:19:16
6	what section. Keep going, keep going.	
7	Okay. So	
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Keep going?	
9	MS. GONDEIRO: Yeah, keep going just a	
10	little bit more.	16:19:46
11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.	
12	MS. GONDEIRO: I thought I actually don't	
13	think this is the right one. I thought that can	
14	you go back up?	
15	I thought that this that this one said	16:20:25
16	that religious services were allowed to gather at a	
17	hundred people or 25 percent of the building	
18	capacity. I don't think this is the right one.	
19	You know what? For the sake of time, I	
20	don't I don't think we need this exhibit.	16:20:41
21	Can we move on to the next one?	
22	(Exhibit 25 was marked for identification.)	
23	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
24	Q. Okay. And this is a Special Investigations	
25	Weekly Summary from October 15th through	16:20:51

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 39 of 113

1		1
1	October 21st, 2020.	
2	Dr. Cody, did Santa Clara County issue	
3	weekly summaries every single week starting in April	
4	of 2020?	
5	A. Weekly summaries	16:21:15
6	MR. WALL: Sorry. Excuse me. I'm sorry.	
7	Object to form. It's outside the scope.	
8	But you can answer, Dr. Cody.	
9	THE WITNESS: Yeah. And, I'm sorry, which	
10	exhibit is this? Because I would like to pull it up	16:21:25
11	as well.	
12	MS. GONDEIRO: It's Exhibit 25.	
13	THE WITNESS: Exhibit 25?	
14	MS. GONDEIRO: Yes.	
15	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.	16:21:33
16	Okay. I am ready, yes.	
17	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
18	Q. Do you recall receiving Special	
19	Investigation Weekly Summaries like this one?	
20	A. Yes. I don't recall the exact dates when	16:21:56
21	these began and when they ended, but but yes	
22	Q. Okay.	
23	A I do recall receiving them.	
24	Q. Did the County issue weekly summaries every	
25	single week starting in April of 2020?	16:22:13

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 40 of 113

1		1
1	MR. WALL: Object to form. Outside the	
2	scope.	
3	You can you can answer, Dr. Cody.	
4	THE WITNESS: Issue internally issue	
5	what? Issue to whom? Can you be more specific so I	16:22:27
6	can answer correctly?	
7	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
8	Q. Did the County issue these types of Special	
9	Investigation Weekly Summaries for or for the	
10	County Public Health Department?	16:22:46
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. Okay. And when did they start issuing these	
13	weekly summaries?	
14	MR. WALL: Object. Object to form. Outside	
15	the scope.	16:22:57
16	But if you recall, you can answer, Dr. Cody.	
17	THE WITNESS: I don't recall when we they	
18	started generating Special Investigations Weekly	
19	Summaries. I don't recall exactly when that started.	
20	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	16:23:16
21	Q. When did the County stop issuing these	
22	Weekly Special Investigation Summaries?	
23	MR. WALL: Same objection.	
24	THE WITNESS: I I can't recall the exact	
25	date.	16:23:31

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 41 of 113

		1
1	MS. GONDEIRO: Can you scroll down? Just	
2	scroll down a little bit.	
3	You can keep going.	
4	Okay. You can stop right here. You can	
5	stop at Table 8.	16:24:12
6	Actually, no, not Table 8. Keep going.	
7	Sorry. I thought that was	
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That's the bottom of the	
9	page right there.	
10	MS. GONDEIRO: Wait. Yeah, keep going up.	16:24:31
11	I think I missed it.	
12	Okay. Table 6. There we go.	
13	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
14	Q. Dr. Cody, in in Table 6, which reads,	
15	"Number of worksite investigations by Setting Type,"	16:24:47
16	if you go down to where it says "Places of worship,"	
17	it says "3."	
18	Does that include only the the	
19	investigations for the week specified in this	
20	investigations report or all numbers or all	16:25:08
21	worksite investigations up to October 21st, 2020?	
22	MR. WALL: Objection. Beyond the scope.	
23	But, Dr. Cody, you can answer.	
24	THE WITNESS: So I note that these are	
25	worksites where they were reported to the special	16:25:30

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 42 of 113

		1
1	investigations group, and I would have to spend some	
2	more time with the document in order to tell you what	
3	that time period was.	
4	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
5	Q. Okay.	16:25:42
6	A. So I I actually can't quite tell the way	
7	this is labeled. In the first table, it's pretty	
8	clear which are cumulative and which are weekly	
9	reported.	
10	Q. Uh-huh.	16:26:31
11	A. And then, of course, the figures with cases	
12	over time, it's pretty clear the time frame within	
13	long-term care facilities, and it's pretty clear	
14	Table 2 is cumulative.	
15	Q. Uh-huh. So you're not sure about Table 6?	16:26:55
16	A. Yeah, it's not it's not the time	
17	period is not labeled for Table 6.	
18	Q. Okay. Were were religious services open	
19	on or around or allowed to meet indoors on or	
20	around October 13th, 2020?	16:27:18
21	A. In Octo there was a period in October	
22	where a period during October when, as I recall,	
23	indoor gatherings were permitted for a brief period	
24	in October	
25	Q. Okay.	16:27:43

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 43 of 113

		1
1	A as I recall.	
2	MS. GONDEIRO: Now I recall why I have	
3	the can you go Dan, can you go back to the	
4	prior exhibit? Now I now I remember why I	
5	included the exhibit.	16:27:53
6	You can scroll down to the section where it	
7	says "Issued."	
8	No, this is issued November no, this is	
9	not November 15th. Oh, shoot.	
10	Okay. You can scroll up. Sorry. Oh, wait.	16:28:22
11	Scroll up where it says "religious gatherings."	
12	So religious gatherings yeah. Yep. You	
13	can stay on page 4.	
14	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
15	Q. Religious gatherings were prohibited in	16:28:48
16	November of 2020; is that correct?	
17	A. I	
18	MR. WALL: Object to form.	
19	You can answer, Dr. Cody.	
20	THE WITNESS: Yeah. The document that we're	16:29:00
21	looking at was issued November 15th, 2020, and	
22	revised February 26th. So this would have been a	
23	document from February 26, 2021.	
24	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
25	Q. Okay. Do you recall in if if	16:29:12
		I

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 44 of 113

1	religious gatherings were allowed indoors on or	
2	around October 13th, 2020?	
3	A. As I mentioned before, any gathering, for	
4	whatever reason, whether it was religious or secular	
5	or celebratory or what have you, would have been	16:29:39
6	under the gatherings directive. As I recall, there	
7	was a brief period in October where case counts were	
8	low and indoor gatherings were permitted.	
9	Q. Okay.	
10	A. But this this this is from	16:29:58
11	Q. Yeah.	
12	A February, so it doesn't reflect what	
13	would have been permitted in October 2020.	
14	Q. Okay. Was the County prepared for a	
15	COVID-19 surge in late November of 2020?	16:30:28
16	A. Can you tell me more what you mean by "was	
17	the County prepared"?	
18	Q. Was the County prepared for COVID-19 cases	
19	to increase significantly starting in or around	
20	November of 2020?	16:30:52
21	A. I don't think there was any way to be fully	
22	prepared for what we experienced in November,	
23	December, and January. We had so many people in the	
24	hospital and in the intensive care unit, and it was a	
25	devastating time. I don't think there's really a way	16:31:18

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 45 of 113

1	to prepare for that.	
2	Q. Did the County use any buildings or space	
3	outside of hospitals to to place people with	
4	who were who were hospitalized due to COVID-19?	
5	MR. WALL: Object to form. Outside the	16:31:41
6	scope.	
7	THE WITNESS: All hospitals have surge plans	
8	if the demand on the hospital from patients	
9	exceeds exceeds their beds. As I recall, at this	
10	time, some hospitals had to move some of their	16:32:03
11	operations outdoors to tents in order to manage the	
12	influx of ill persons coming to seek care.	
13	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
14	Q. Did the County rent out any space, starting	
15	in in March of 2020, to hold to house COVID-19	16:32:25
16	patients?	
17	MR. WALL: Same objection.	
18	THE WITNESS: I would like a clarification.	
19	I are we talking about March 2020? Are we talking	
20	about November 2020?	16:32:43
21	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
22	Q. At any point	
23	A. What time period are we talking about?	
24	Q. At any point since March of 2020, did the	
25	County rent out outdoor space to hold COVID-19	16:32:51

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 46 of 113

		1
1	patients or indoor space, for that matter?	
2	MR. WALL: Same objection.	
3	THE WITNESS: I don't know. This would have	
4	been within the health care delivery sector with our	
5	county hospital and and clinics. So I don't know	16:33:17
6	whether they rented space or would be able to	
7	accommodate, within existing county facilities, a	
8	surge in patients given the outdoor space that would	
9	be available outside a county facility.	
10	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	16:33:41
11	Q. Why did the County reinstate their ban on	
12	indoor gatherings on or around February 12th of 2021?	
13	MR. WALL: Objection. Object to form.	
14	Assumes facts.	
15	THE WITNESS: I'm honestly a little confused	16:34:01
16	by the timeline. We're jumping to different times.	
17	So your question regards what was happening	
18	in February 2021?	
19	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
20	Q. February 12th of 2021, why why did the	16:34:13
21	County reinstate their ban on indoor gatherings?	
22	MR. WALL: Object to form.	
23	THE WITNESS: The in February 2021, as I	
24	recall, we still had significant transmission of	
25	COVID in the community, and so gatherings indoor	16:34:36

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 47 of 113

1	gatherings, in general, would have created a risk for	
2	spread.	
3	MS. GONDEIRO: Can Dan, can you please	
4	pull up the next the next exhibit?	
5	(Exhibit 26 was marked for identification.)	16:35:05
6	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
7	Q. Dr. Cody, does this graph look familiar?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. What does it show?	
10	A. This shows the reported case counts over	16:35:25
11	time since the beginning of case reporting, the	
12	inception of the pandemic so January 27, 2020, to	
13	February 7, 2021 and it shows case counts broken	
14	out by reported from a case in the community or	
15	reported from a long-term care facility resident or	16:35:49
16	staff. So it allows us to see that.	
17	It also shows a seven-day rolling average of	
18	the case counts over time, and it shows that the	
19	surge in the winter of 2020-2021 peaked in early	
20	January and then began to decline over the months of	16:36:20
21	January and February 2021.	
22	Q. Okay. And by so does this graph graph	
23	reveal that by early February of 2021, cases were	
24	about case rates were about the same as the end of	
25	October or early November?	16:36:53

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 48 of 113

		1
1	A. It looks to me that the end of the yellow	
2	line in early February, if you drew that across, you	
3	would be in late November.	
4	Q. Okay. You would be in does it look	
5	like so late November?	16:37:13
6	A. Uh-huh.	
7	Q. I'm seeing more of like the middle of	
8	November, but	
9	A. I'm I'm seeing more late November.	
10	Q. Okay.	16:37:36
11	A. The data are there.	
12	Q. Okay.	
13	A. They can be	
14	Q. But starting in early January, COVID-19	
15	cases were significantly dropping; correct?	16:37:46
16	MR. WALL: Object to form.	
17	THE WITNESS: In early January, cases were	
18	higher than they had ever been at any point in the	
19	pandemic.	
20	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	16:38:01
21	Q. Yes.	
22	A. Yes.	
23	Q. And were they significantly dropping since	
24	then until February of 2020 or 2021?	
25	A. Right. So the daily reported case count	16:38:13
		1

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 49 of 113

1	dropped between, I think, the first week in January	
2	and the date in February that's covered on this	
3	graph.	
4	Q. But from I mean, from Febru from	
5	January to to February of 2021, would you consider	16:38:28
6	that to be a significant drop in COVID-19 cases?	
7	A. The the rate of drop yes, it dropped,	
8	but I the the main point that I would	
9	see here is that there was still a lot of COVID	
10	transmission in the community because the daily case	16:38:56
11	count is as high as it was at the end of November and	
12	higher than it had been at any other point in the	
13	pandemic, you know, prior to late November.	
14	So, yes, down from a very high high but	
15	still pretty high.	16:39:15
16	Q. Okay. What did the COVID-19 cases	
17	continue to decrease in February of 2021 as well?	
18	A. Yes. As I recall, they continued to	
19	decrease.	
20	Q. Okay. Were you concerned, even with this	16:39:41
21	significant decline in COVID-19 cases starting in	
22	January of 2021, that COVID-19 cases could start to	
23	increase again in February of 2021?	
24	A. Well, I was certainly hoping the decline	
25	would continue. I think there was a question as to	16:40:05

		1
1	whether it would continue at the same rate or whether	
2	it would begin to the rate of decrease would begin	
3	to slow and and so I think at that moment it was	
4	difficult to know.	
5	Q. Uh-huh.	16:40:27
6	MS. GONDEIRO: I'm going to take a little	
7	bit of a short break here and put together my	
8	remaining exhibits.	
9	So can we go off the record?	
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right.	16:40:38
11	MR. WALL: How long?	
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the	
13	record. The time is 4:40.	
14	(Recess taken.)	
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the start of	16:56:58
16	Volume I, Media 4, for the deposition of Dr. Sara	
17	Cody.	
18	Back on the record. The time is 4:57.	
19	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
20	Q. Dr. Cody, can you pull up the next exhibit,	16:57:11
21	or do you have that access to that on your end?	
22	A. The exhibit that's showing right now on my	
23	screen?	
24	Q. Yes.	
25	A. Yeah. I don't have it pulled up, but I	16:57:26
		I

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 51 of 113

think I can see it well enough.	
Q. Okay. Okay.	
MR. WALL: It's Dr. Cody, it's just one	
page. It's just this one excerpt.	
THE WITNESS: Yeah.	16:57:31
MS. GONDEIRO: Yes.	
THE WITNESS: Yeah, I will I can I can	
see it I can see it well. Thank you.	
BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
Q. Yes.	16:57:35
And and so this is a a similar graph	
to what you just saw in the last exhibit. It	
reflects COVID-19 cases from January 27th, 2020,	
through February 21st of 2021.	
So so based upon this graph, would you	16:57:56
agree that it continues to show COVID-19 cases	
declining throughout February of 2021?	
A. What I see on this graph is that the	
seven-day rolling average of case counts continue to	
decline, although the rate of decline had begun to	16:58:19
slow a bit.	
Q. Okay. So in I think it ends on	
February 18th.	
A. It ends on February 21.	
Q. Or February 21, yeah.	16:58:37
	Q. Okay. Okay. MR. WALL: It's Dr. Cody, it's just one page. It's just this one excerpt. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MS. GONDEIRO: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I will I can I can see it I can see it well. Thank you. BY MS. GONDEIRO: Q. Yes. And and so this is a a similar graph to what you just saw in the last exhibit. It reflects COVID-19 cases from January 27th, 2020, through February 21st of 2021. So so based upon this graph, would you agree that it continues to show COVID-19 cases declining throughout February of 2021? A. What I see on this graph is that the seven-day rolling average of case counts continue to decline, although the rate of decline had begun to slow a bit. Q. Okay. So in I think it ends on February 18th. A. It ends on February 21.

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 52 of 113

1	Co Fobruary 21 would you gay baged upon	
	So February 21, would you say, based upon	
2	this graph, that the COVID-19 cases were around the	
3	same rate as what the COVID-19 cases were at the	
4	beginning of November of 2020?	
5	A. Give me a minute.	16:58:57
6	They look to be around early/mid-November,	
7	eyeballing it. About the same, yeah.	
8	Q. Okay. When did the County eventually lift	
9	their ban on indoor gatherings in early 2021 or	
10	yeah.	16:59:25
11	A. Indoor gatherings, I don't so your	
12	question is, when were indoor gatherings allowed in	
13	2021?	
14	Q. Yes, in early 2021.	
15	A. I think they contin I think it may not	16:59:46
16	have been until March that indoor gatherings were	
17	allowed.	
18	Q. Why did the County wait until March of 2021	
19	to lift the ban on indoor gatherings?	
20	A. We would have lifted the ban the	17:00:04
21	prohibition on gathering indoors until conditions	
22	were such that we felt it was safe for the community	
23	to to to gather indoors.	
24	Q. Well, based upon the fact that COVID-19	
25	cases continued to decline from early January and	17:00:23
		1

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 53 of 113

1	through February of 2021, were you concerned that	
2	there was going to be increases another increase	
3	in COVID-19 cases?	
4	A. The various factors that we would have been	
5	looking at and considering would have included the	17:00:50
6	case counts, the positivity rate, the hospital	
7	capacity, the what we were seeing in terms of	
8	deaths. So sort of the how this all translated to	
9	impact with severe illness and hospitalization, and	
10	so there were many things that we would be thinking	17:01:16
11	about in addition to the case counts and case rates.	
12	Q. Uh-huh. Well, in regards to case counts,	
13	were you concerned that case counts would increase at	
14	any point in February of 2021?	
15	A. If I look at patterns over time, cases would	17:01:44
16	increase and then decrease. We would have waves.	
17	Q. Uh-huh.	
18	A. So and so this very large winter wave was	
19	abating	
20	Q. Uh-huh.	17:02:06
21	A and so it would you know, the virus	
22	it's always difficult to predict the behavior.	
23	Q. Uh-huh.	
24	A. But it probably so I don't think I would	
25	have I don't think I would have predicted at a	17:02:18

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 54 of 113

1	moment in time what was going to be happening	
2	happening next.	
3	Q. Were hospitalization rates also de	
4	decreasing from January to February of 2021?	
5	A. The hospitalization rates usually lagged the	17:02:37
6	case rates, and the lag has varied depending on	
7	different times in the pandemic. So this would be	
8	mid-February, you know, five, six weeks out from the	
9	peak; so I think we would seeing a decrease in	
10	hospitalization. And that would be reflected also in	17:03:03
11	the data that we would have had publicly on our	
12	website.	
13	Q. Uh-huh. Dr. Cody, what what factors	
14	contribute to a COVID-19 particle being inhaled by	
15	someone?	17:03:37
16	A. What factors contribute to a viral particle	
17	being inhaled by someone?	
18	Q. A COVID-19 viral particle being inhaled by	
19	someone.	
20	A. Right. So they would be if you think	17:03:53
21	about the probability that you might be exposed to or	
22	inhale air with with viral SARS-CoV-2	
23	virus in it, it would have to do with prevalence of	
24	COVID in the community, the number of people that you	
25	are with. So the probability would go up the more	17:04:15

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 55 of 113

1		1
1	people you're in contact with. The probability	
2	increases that one of those people might be	
3	infectious, particularly since around 40 percent of	
4	people have no symptoms at all.	
5	Q. Uh-huh.	17:04:29
6	A. The probability would increase if the people	
7	around you were not wearing face coverings. The	
8	probability would increase if you were indoors. The	
9	probability would increase if the people that you	
10	were with hadn't recently tested and didn't know	17:04:42
11	their status.	
12	Those would all increase the probability	
13	that you might that there might be virus in the	
14	air that you might be exposed to. The probability	
15	would also increase if you weren't wearing a face	17:04:58
16	covering.	
17	Q. Sure.	
18	Would the building size of an indoor space	
19	contribute to or be a factor in a COVID-19 spread?	
20	MR. WALL: Object. Object to form.	17:05:17
21	Incomplete hypothetical.	
22	But you can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
23	THE WITNESS: A smaller space, so less air	
24	space, would also increase the probability. If	
25	someone was exhaling and there were viral viral	17:05:32

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 56 of 113

		1
1	particles, if you were in a smaller indoor space, it	
2	would increase the chance that you would breathe it	
3	in and that it would be more concentrated because	
4	there wouldn't be there would be less ability for	
5	dilution.	17:05:54
6	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
7	Q. Okay. Would air circulation or or	
8	ventilation system also contribute to whether a	
9	COVID COVID-19 would spread?	
10	MR. WALL: Same objection.	17:06:07
11	THE WITNESS: Yes. A less a	
12	less-ventilated area would increase the risk of	
13	exposure.	
14	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
15	Q. How long does a COVID-19 air air particle	17:06:20
16	stay or how long does a COVID-19 particle stay in	
17	the air?	
18	A. That largely depends on the environmental	
19	conditions. So it would have to do with the size of	
20	the particle. Smaller would be able to stay	17:06:45
21	aerosolized for longer. Have to do with temperature,	
22	humidity, UV light. There would be a lot of	
23	different factors that would come into play.	
24	Q. You're aware that there were COVID-19	
25	outbreaks at grocery stores during the pandemic;	17:07:08

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 57 of 113

1	correct?	
2	A. I don't recall documenting an outbreak in a	
3	grocery store.	
4	Q. Do you recall COVID-19 cases being	
5	documented in in grocery stores or retail stores,	17:07:25
6	for that matter, during COVID-19 during the	
7	COVID-19 pandemic?	
8	A. I do remember it was challenging, in many	
9	cases, to know where an exposure occurred. So, for	
10	example, many people are frequently in a grocery	17:07:45
11	store.	
12	Q. Uh-huh.	
13	A. Or many people are frequently in a retail	
14	space or like a pharmacy. And so to it was	
15	extraordinarily difficult to understand where an	17:08:02
16	exposure may have occurred, particularly if someone	
17	had if it was a place like a grocery store because	
18	that's something that many, if not all, people are	
19	commonly in spaces like grocery stores.	
20	Q. Okay. During the COVID-19 pandemic, did the	17:08:19
21	County ever document cases traced to grocery stores	
22	or retail stores?	
23	MR. WALL: Object to form.	
24	THE WITNESS: I don't recall that we ever	
25	were able to isolate a cluster of cases to patrons in	17:08:36

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 58 of 113

		1
1	a grocery store or retail store. It may have been	
2	the case that, you know, workers in some somewhere	
3	under that umbrella, there would have been an	
4	outbreak reported, but it would be extraordinarily	
5	difficult to document an outbreak for the reasons	17:09:03
6	that I mentioned.	
7	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
8	Q. Sure. So I'm not asking for clusters of	
9	COVID-19 cases. I'm just asking any COVID-19 case.	
10	Did the County document any any COVID-19	17:09:16
11	cases to to retail stores or or grocery stores	
12	from patrons?	
13	MR. WALL: Objection. Object to form and	
14	outside the scope.	
15	But, Dr. Cody, you can answer.	17:09:31
16	THE WITNESS: Yeah. There's, unfortunately,	
17	not a way to trace an individual or, what we say, a	
18	sporadic case to something as broad as retail or	
19	grocery.	
20	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	17:09:58
21	Q. Why do you think there were so many	
22	outbreaks at construction sites during the COVID-19	
23	pandemic?	
24	MR. WALL: Objection. Assumes facts.	
25	Outside the scope.	17:10:08

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 59 of 113

1	THE WITNESS: I I am not one challenge	
2	of understanding how COVID was moving is that there's	
3	what we call "reporting bias." So, as I mentioned	
4	before, we're looking at reported outbreaks. And	
5	only if the entity reports the outbreak do we know it	17:10:38
6	exists.	
7	MS. GONDEIRO: Uh-huh.	
8	THE WITNESS: So we would have trends in	
9	reports of outbreaks and try to understand, you know,	
10	the setting in which those outbreaks were reported.	17:10:51
11	But, again, it's it's sometimes very difficult to	
12	interpret because there's a a not always	
13	consistent reporting.	
14	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
15	Q. Well, what trends did you see in	17:11:06
16	construction sites during the COVID-19 pandemic?	
17	A. I I don't recall specifically trends	
18	in in construction. It would have been one of	
19	many areas that we would have been trying to	
20	understand to to see whether there was additional	17:11:23
21	precautions, but I don't I don't remember anything	
22	specific about trends in construction.	
23	Q. You are aware, though, that there were	
24	outbreaks at COVID-19 of COVID-19 at construction	
25	sites during the COVID-19 pandemic; correct?	17:11:39

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 60 of 113

		1
1	A. I am aware that construction sites did	
2	report outbreaks of COVID.	
3	Q. Are you not aware of what contributed to	
4	those outbreaks?	
5	A. I do recall that it was always difficult to	17:11:57
6	know, and we would have to sometimes hypothesize	
7	about the contributors. So, for example, if there	
8	was carpooling by workers to get to a construction	
9	site, that could be a contributor because that's a	
10	smaller space inside a car, or if a cons if	17:12:21
11	workers needed to use public transportation that	
12	would put them in contact with more people or some	
13	such thing.	
14	But I don't recall that we could ever	
15	understand whether there was something about the	17:12:42
16	worksite itself that was facilitating	
17	Q. Okay.	
18	A transmission.	
19	Q. In most of these, did the construction	
20	worksites were they were they mostly outdoors	17:13:00
21	during the COVID-19 pandemic?	
22	MR. WALL: Object to form. Object to form.	
23	Outside the scope.	
24	THE WITNESS: I really can't tell you how	
25	you know, under the universe of construction sites,	17:13:13
		1

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 61 of 113

1	there would have been, of course, outdoor	
2	construction sites and indoor construction sites. I	
3	can't describe the universe of that.	
4	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
5	Q. Did the did the County document outbreaks	17:13:30
6	during the COVID-19 pandemic at offices?	
7	A. To my recollection, there would have been	
8	outbreaks defined, I believe, as three or more cases	
9	that would have been reported from worksites apart	
10	from construction sites.	17:13:53
11	Q. Uh-huh.	
12	A. But	
13	Q. What is the purpose did the County have	
14	any evidence that 6 feet of social distancing was	
15	effective at curtailing the spread of COVID-19 during	17:14:20
16	the COVID-19 pandemic?	
17	A. The the the standard practice since	
18	the beginning of the pandemic was the 6 feet was	
19	designed for the respiratory route with large	
20	droplets, that most large droplets that are emitted	17:14:42
21	by someone that's infectious are large enough to fall	
22	to the ground before they can go 6 feet. And so	
23	6 feet would provide some protection from those large	
24	respiratory droplets. And this was a convention that	
25	was used across the United States.	17:15:01

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 62 of 113

		1
1	Q. The 6 feet social distancing didn't the	
2	County, though, implement the 6 feet social	
3	distancing for all business types during the COVID-19	
4	pandemic?	
5	MR. WALL: Object to form.	17:15:17
6	THE WITNESS: The the 6 feet social	
7	distancing was implemented widely across many	
8	different sectors here and in other parts of the	
9	state and country. That was very standard distancing	
10	really across the board.	17:15:41
11	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
12	Q. If if if COVID-19 air particles are	
13	all, you know, contributed by air space and air	
14	quality, why didn't the County then consider whether	
15	more space was was needed depending on the	17:16:02
16	building type?	
17	A. Because COVID can be transmitted by	
18	aerosols, that is the reason why masking indoors	
19	is is particularly important to protect against	
20	that. That's also why our rules around capacity	17:16:24
21	initially density and then capacity about how many	
22	people could be in a space, were were implemented	
23	for just that reason to limit the number of people	
24	that could be together in an indoor space. So that	
25	combined with masks to help mitigate aerosol spread.	17:16:47
		İ

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 63 of 113

1	Q. Did the County rely on any specific studies	
2	that showed that 6 feet of social distancing was	
3	effective at curtailing the spread of COVID-19?	
4	A. As as I mentioned before, the 6 feet of	
5	social distancing was a practice across sectors,	17:17:13
6	across jurisdictions, and across really across the	
7	country. That was a standard that was adopted	
8	throughout.	
9	Q. So the County just adopted this standard	
10	without looking at any actual studies that	17:17:32
11	demonstrating that it was actually effective?	
12	A. The	
13	MR. WALL: Object to form.	
14	THE WITNESS: The social distancing practice	
15	had started quite early in the pandemic, and there	17:17:47
16	was a lot that was not understood about dominant form	
17	of transmission, whether it was large respiratory	
18	droplets, fine aerosols, contaminated surfaces, or	
19	what have you. And so many different mitigation	
20	measures were implemented to ensure protection	17:18:10
21	from from spread and from contact with the virus.	
22	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
23	Q. Did you review, at any point during the	
24	COVID-19 pandemic, any studies that showed social	
25	distancing or 6 feet of social distancing was	17:18:31

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 64 of 113

1	effective at curtailing the spread of COVID-19?	
2	A. So to the extent that 6 feet of social	
3	distancing accomplishes bringing together fewer	
4	people in a space and also prevents transmission with	
5	respiratory droplets, yes, there are there are	17:18:51
6	data to support that because it would keep people far	
7	enough apart from and it would from exposure	
8	from one of the possible modes of transmission, and	
9	it would accomplish having fewer people gather	
10	together or having the people that were gathered	17:19:15
11	gathered in a less dense fashion. And that that	
12	would protect them as well.	
13	Q. What data are you referring to?	
14	A. Really all the evidence that accumulated	
15	about that COVID is spread through the air by	17:19:31
16	particles of various sizes. So really sort of all of	
17	that together. Many, many, many studies looking	
18	looking at that.	
19	Q. So what what studies?	
20	A. Everything from studies of how aerosols and	17:19:51
21	droplets move in the air, what happens when you cough	
22	and sneeze, sort of like ballistics studies, to	
23	descriptive or documentation of particular	
24	circumstances or contexts where there were	
25	super-spreader events.	17:20:19

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 65 of 113

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Can you do you do you recall the titles of any of these these studies? A. I don't think I could produce a title of a study at this at this moment. Q. Do you recall the authors of any of these studies? A. Not right off the top of my head. Q. Do you recall who published these studies? A. Some of these would likely have been published in the MMWR, particularly those that would document a an event, document a super-spreader event, and the and the circumstances that would	17:20:30 17:20:47
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I don't think I could produce a title of a study at this at this moment. Q. Do you recall the authors of any of these studies? A. Not right off the top of my head. Q. Do you recall who published these studies? A. Some of these would likely have been published in the MMWR, particularly those that would document a an event, document a super-spreader	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	study at this at this moment. Q. Do you recall the authors of any of these studies? A. Not right off the top of my head. Q. Do you recall who published these studies? A. Some of these would likely have been published in the MMWR, particularly those that would document a an event, document a super-spreader	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Do you recall the authors of any of these studies? A. Not right off the top of my head. Q. Do you recall who published these studies? A. Some of these would likely have been published in the MMWR, particularly those that would document a an event, document a super-spreader	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Not right off the top of my head. Q. Do you recall who published these studies? A. Some of these would likely have been published in the MMWR, particularly those that would document a an event, document a super-spreader	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Not right off the top of my head. Q. Do you recall who published these studies? A. Some of these would likely have been published in the MMWR, particularly those that would document a an event, document a super-spreader	17:20:47
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Do you recall who published these studies? A. Some of these would likely have been published in the MMWR, particularly those that would document a an event, document a super-spreader	17:20:47
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Some of these would likely have been published in the MMWR, particularly those that would document a an event, document a super-spreader	17:20:47
10 11 12 13 14 15	published in the MMWR, particularly those that would document a an event, document a super-spreader	17:20:47
11 12 13 14 15	document a an event, document a super-spreader	17:20:47
12 13 14 15 16	,	
13 14 15 16	event, and the and the circumstances that would	
14 15 16		
15 16	have facilitated a super-spreader event.	
16	Q. What does "MMR" stand for?	
	A. It's the CDC's morbidity and mortality	17:21:10
17	reports weekly morbidity and mortality reports.	
	Q. Are you aware of any other publications?	
18	MR. WALL: Object to form. Asked and	
19	answered.	
20	But you can you can answer, Dr. Cody.	17:21:29
21	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	
22	The number of COVID publications grew	
23	exponentially, much like the virus. There were	
24	literally tens of thousands of studies that were	
25	published during the pandemic, and it and it grew	17:21:44
24	exponentially, much like the virus. There were literally tens of thousands of studies that were	17.21

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 66 of 113

1	with every month.	
2	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
3	Q. Were there any specific publications you can	
4	recall that you felt really helpful for you in in	
5	drafting your own in drafting the County COVID-19	17:22:02
6	orders?	
7	A. The studies that I found most helpful were	
8	ones that tended to complement each other where we	
9	could get different types of data all sort of	
10	moving moving in the same direction. In other	17:22:26
11	words, a way of a study design of one type	
12	confirming findings of a study design from a very	
13	different type. I would find that very helpful	
14	Q. Okay.	
15	A you know, rather than one study by	17:22:42
16	itself.	
17	Q. Sure.	
18	What studies are you that you can recall	
19	complemented each other?	
20	A. So, for example, a study that would document	17:22:51
21	how how many particles of what kind are produced	
22	when someone is talking versus breathing versus	
23	singing versus shouting, those type of studies that	
24	just really like the the physics of how those	
25	particles move.	17:23:15

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 67 of 113

1	Q. Uh-huh.	
2	A. That kind of study complemented with, say, a	
3	description of what happened, you know, in a	
4	particular event in the real world.	
5	So, for example, the singing at I think	17:23:30
6	it was a choir practice in Oregon that in	
7	Washington that resulted in a number of cases,	
8	including extremely severe ones, that then and	
9	then understanding, you know, why that happened. So	
10	pairing that with a study to better understand how	17:23:55
11	respiratory droplets and aerosols behave. That	
12	pairing would be would be very helpful.	
13	Q. Sure.	
14	Are you aware of any other studies that	
15	that complemented each other?	17:24:09
16	A. There would have been many, many, many,	
17	many. So we would have been looking for studies that	
18	would really help us fully understand how the virus	
19	was behaving and particularly how the virus was	
20	behaving in conditions that we might find in our own	17:24:30
21	community.	
22	MS. GONDEIRO: Dan, can you pull up the next	
23	slide the next exhibit?	
24	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the Danish study?	
25	MS. GONDEIRO: No. The one before that.	17:24:56
		1

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 68 of 113

1		
1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Oh, okay. Sorry.	
2	There we go.	
3	(Exhibit 27 was marked for identification.)	
4	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
5	Q. Dr. Cody	17:25:18
6	MR. WALL: Excuse me. I'm sorry. What	
7	what exhibit number is this?	
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 27. Sorry.	
9	MR. WALL: 27?	
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yeah. It's up in the	17:25:26
11	upper left-hand corner, if you can see it.	
12	MR. WALL: I can't find it in the	
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Oh.	
14	MR. WALL: Is this more than one page? I	
15	can't find it in the chat. I apologize.	17:25:34
16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Oh, it's all right.	
17	You know what? I don't think I uploaded	
18	that one. So let me let me do that right now.	
19	MR. WALL: Thank you.	
20	MS. GONDEIRO: And, Dan, how much time do we	17:25:59
21	have left?	
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We've got right around an	
23	hour.	
24	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay.	
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sixty minutes.	17:26:08

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 69 of 113

1		1
1	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay.	
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. Let me put	
3	this up for you.	
4	It is in chat now.	
5	MR. WALL: Thank you.	17:26:14
6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: You're welcome.	
7	MR. WALL: For the record, this is an	
8	excerpt from a document produced by the County.	
9	MS. GONDEIRO: Yes.	
10	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	17:26:37
11	Q. Dr. Cody, does this graph look familiar?	
12	A. Yes.	
13	Q. Who who put together this graph?	
14	A. Public Health Department staff.	
15	Q. Who? What what staff members?	17:26:56
16	A. The Public Health Department and other	
17	County staff working on behalf of the Public Health	
18	Department working in our department operations	
19	center.	
20	Q. Can you name those individuals?	17:27:13
21	A. It would have been a team. This would have	
22	been the team that was conducting interviews with	
23	cases, doing the case investigation work.	
24	Q. Okay. Can you name individuals within that	
25	team?	17:27:43

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 70 of 113

1	A. So the individuals within the team would	
2	have been Dr. Sarah Rudman; a number of different	
3	public health nurses rotated through; a number of	
4	different epidemiologists would have been supporting	
5	that team. I wouldn't know, at the time this was	17:28:13
6	produced, who was on the team at the time. And I	
7	don't know the date of when this was created.	
8	Q. Okay. Can you give me would it have been	
9	created after June of 2021?	
10	MR. WALL: Object to form. Again, this is a	17:28:45
11	partial document. It was part of a presentation that	
12	would have had a date.	
13	MS. GONDEIRO: Yes.	
14	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
15	Q. Do you remember generally when this the	17:28:55
16	general time frame of when this graph was put	
17	together?	
18	A. Honestly, I don't. I think it would have	
19	been earlier than June.	
20	Q. Would it have been in 2021?	17:29:08
21	A. Honestly, I don't I I don't know.	
22	Q. Okay. So it says here that the indoor	
23	settings that reported the most COVID-19 cases were	
24	social visits to another person's home; is that	
25	correct?	17:29:38
		i

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 71 of 113

7 That is some st	
A. Inat's correct.	
Q. Did did the lockdowns have any effect	
of in preventing social visits to other people's	
homes?	
A. Again, I don't know I don't know the date	17:29:59
of this. I really don't recall the date of this, so	
I don't know whether this was done while we were	
under a shelter-in-place order or a risk reduction	
order. So I can't answer your question.	
I also	17:30:20
Q. Didn't you say earlier you thought it would	
have been sometime in 2021?	
A. No. I believe I said I don't I I	
don't know if it was in 2021. I don't I don't	
I really don't know what year this was. I know	17:30:33
there's a date attached to it, but I don't know the	
date.	
Q. Do you believe it could have been created in	
early 2020?	
A. What I do know is this would not have been	17:30:47
created in the first half of 2020 because we did not	
have a robust case investigation and a contact team,	
and so it could not have been created then. So it	
would have been created later that year or sometime	
the next year, but I	17:31:04
	of in preventing social visits to other people's homes? A. Again, I don't know I don't know the date of this. I really don't recall the date of this, so I don't know whether this was done while we were under a shelter-in-place order or a risk reduction order. So I can't answer your question. I also Q. Didn't you say earlier you thought it would have been sometime in 2021? A. No. I believe I said I don't I I don't know if it was in 2021. I don't I don't I really don't know what year this was. I know there's a date attached to it, but I don't know the date. Q. Do you believe it could have been created in early 2020? A. What I do know is this would not have been created in the first half of 2020 because we did not have a robust case investigation and a contact team, and so it could not have been created then. So it would have been created later that year or sometime

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 72 of 113

1	Q. Sure.	
2	A I don't know when it was created.	
3	Q. So the earliest it could have been created	
4	would have been July of 2020; is that correct?	
5	MR. WALL: Object to form.	17:31:13
6	THE WITNESS: I know there's a date attached	
7	to the document. I don't know what the date is	
8	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
9	Q. Sure. And and	
10	A or the month or even the year.	17:31:23
11	Q. I mean, I could go back and find that date,	
12	but you just said that it couldn't have been created	
13	during the first half.	
14	So what I'm asking is, is the earliest this	
15	could have been created during July of 2020?	17:31:35
16	A. Yes, but I would I would guess that it	
17	would have been created later because I don't think	
18	that our team would have been large enough to do that	
19	in July.	
20	Q. Okay. So then it would have been after	17:32:01
21	July?	
22	A. That is my these are only guesses. I	
23	don't know when the document was created.	
24	Q. Okay. Okay. Well, given that it was most	
25	likely put together after July of 2020 and the	17:32:15

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 73 of 113

	1
lockdown orders were lifted in July, how did the	
lockdown orders based upon this graph, how	
effective were they at stopping the spread of	
COVID-19 in people's homes?	
MR. WALL: Object to form.	17:32:48
THE WITNESS: These data can't answer that	
question.	
BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
Q. Why can't it not answer this question?	
A. These these data are asking a subset of	17:33:03
people what they were doing during their exposure	
period, but they are numerators, not denominators.	
So there's no comparison.	
So they're just there's no there's no	
context around them to understand what is the	17:33:27
relative risk of exposure from being in one setting	
versus another because they're there's no	
they're not there's no context. There's no rates.	
There's no denominators. It's just individual cases	
reporting the different places that they were.	17:33:47
So the unfortunately difficult to	
conclude much from these data.	
Q. Okay. Were the lockdown orders intended to	
prevent people from going to other people's homes?	
MR. WALL: Object to form.	17:34:10
	lockdown orders based upon this graph, how effective were they at stopping the spread of COVID-19 in people's homes? MR. WALL: Object to form. THE WITNESS: These data can't answer that question. BY MS. GONDEIRO: Q. Why can't it not answer this question? A. These these data are asking a subset of people what they were doing during their exposure period, but they are numerators, not denominators. So there's no comparison. So they're just there's no there's no context around them to understand what is the relative risk of exposure from being in one setting versus another because they're there's no rates. There's no denominators. It's just individual cases reporting the different places that they were. So the unfortunately difficult to conclude much from these data. Q. Okay. Were the lockdown orders intended to prevent people from going to other people's homes?

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 74 of 113

		1
1	THE WITNESS: The orders to shelter in place	
2	were designed to keep people to limit the number	
3	of interactions that people had with each other to	
4	prevent the spread of COVID.	
5	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	17:34:32
6	Q. Okay. Did the shelter-in-place orders	
7	specifically say that people could not go to someone	
8	else's home?	
9	MR. WALL: Object to form. The orders speak	
10	for themselves.	17:34:47
11	But, Dr. Cody, you can answer.	
12	THE WITNESS: The shelter-in-place orders	
13	that were in place in various forms between March	
14	2020 through June 2020 directed people to shelter in	
15	their place of residence except to perform particular	17:35:08
16	activities or access services that they needed to	
17	access.	
18	After that, we transitioned to risk	
19	reduction orders	
20	MS. GONDEIRO: Uh-huh.	17:35:26
21	THE WITNESS: which had a very a very	
22	different frame.	
23	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
24	Q. But the lockdown orders directed people not	
25	to go to someone else's home; is that correct?	17:35:42

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 75 of 113

		1
1	MR. WALL: Object to form. Asked and	
2	answered.	
3	THE WITNESS: The shelter-in-place orders	
4	which were in place between March 2020 through June	
5	2020, I don't know whether the data that you're	17:35:55
6	showing to me corresponded to that time period or	
7	not. I don't know the date that these data were	
8	collected.	
9	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay. That didn't answer my	
10	question.	17:36:17
11	Court Reporter, can you please repeat the	
12	question?	
13	(Record read.)	
14	MR. WALL: Object to form. Asked and	
15	answered as well.	17:36:39
16	THE WITNESS: The shelter-in-place orders	
17	that were in place between March and June directed	
18	people to stay in their place of residence unless	
19	they needed to perform you know, unless they	
20	needed to visit obtain food or medical care or the	17:37:00
21	other things that we have talked about. And those	
22	were just in place through June.	
23	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
24	Q. I'm going to move on to the next question.	
25	Dr. Cody, how big is a COVID-19 particle in	17:37:19

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 76 of 113

1	comparison to influenza?	
2	A. How big is the SARS-CoV-2 virus compared to	
3	the influenza virus?	
4	Q. Yes.	
5	A. I don't I don't know how the size of the	17:37:38
6	virus I don't know.	
7	An individual viral particle of SARS-CoV-2?	
8	Q. Is in is influenza bigger than a	
9	SARS-CoV-2 virus particle?	
10	A. I don't know what the size of the SARS-CoV-2	17:37:58
11	virus is compared to the size of the influenza virus.	
12	Q. I'm just asking, is it do you know if the	
13	influenza virus particle is bigger than the SARS-V-2	
14	[sic] virus particle?	
15	A. Well	17:38:16
16	MR. WALL: Object. Object to form. Asked	
17	and answered.	
18	THE WITNESS: Because I don't know the size	
19	of either, I can't tell you which one is larger than	
20	the other.	17:38:22
21	I'm not able to see anyone other than the	
22	court reporter and Robin Wall for some reason. Let	
23	me okay. There we go.	
24	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
25	Q. Why did you ever think to, like, research	17:38:41

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 77 of 113

		1
1	how big the SARS-V-2 COVID-19 particle was in	
2	comparison to influenza?	
3	MR. WALL: Object to form.	
4	THE WITNESS: The size of the virus would	
5	not have been an important fact for me to know to	17:39:02
6	make the decisions that I was making.	
7	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
8	Q. Okay. Did you ever read the Cochrane	
9	Review? Are you aware of what the Cochrane Review	
10	is?	17:39:22
11	A. The Cochrane Review, as I recall, is a	
12	publication that pulls together data from other	
13	publications.	
14	Q. Okay. Generally, what is it about?	
15	MR. WALL: Object. Object to form. Scope.	17:39:40
16	Outside the scope.	
17	THE WITNESS: The Cochrane Review could be	
18	about a variety of topics.	
19	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
20	Q. Okay. What are those topics?	17:39:53
21	MR. WALL: Same objection.	
22	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
23	Q. Did you ever review a Cochrane do you	
24	ever recall looking over a Cochrane Review discussing	
25	the size of a COVID-19 particle?	17:40:07

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 78 of 113

1	A. I don't recall.	
2	Q. Do you ever recall looking at a meta review	
3	of a COVID-19 particle?	
4	A. I don't I don't recall reviewing anything	
5	that discussed the size of the virus, the size of the	17:40:26
6	individual virus.	
7	Q. In your opinion, what is the most effective	
8	mask at curtailing the spread of COVID-19?	
9	A. Right now, the mask with the the best	
10	fit/infiltration, which would be an N95 mask would	17:40:47
11	provide the most protection.	
12	Q. Okay. So N95 masks are more effective than	
13	surgical masks; is that correct?	
14	A. N95 masks have a better filtration and	
15	better fit and a higher chance of protecting from	17:41:06
16	COVID-19 as compared to a surgical mask.	
17	Q. Why didn't the County then require	
18	individuals to wear an N95 mask?	
19	A. What time period are you	
20	Q. The COVID-19 pandemic.	17:41:32
21	Since April of 2020, when face masks were	
22	required, why didn't the County require people to	
23	wear N95 masks?	
24	A. N95 masks were in very short supply. In	
25	fact, we were quite worried that we didn't have	17:41:46

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 79 of 113

1	enough N95 masks for health care workers.	
2	MS. GONDEIRO: I'm going to take a short	
3	break right now. Okay? Is that okay? And then	
4	we can go off the record.	
5	MR. WALL: Just how much before before	17:42:11
6	we take a break it's getting late. It's almost	
7	5:45. How much longer do you anticipate this	
8	deposition lasting, Mariah?	
9	MS. GONDEIRO: Well, until until the end.	
10	Can we go off the record, please?	17:42:21
11	MR. WALL: Well, what	
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Going off the	
13	record. The time is 5:42.	
14	(Recess taken.)	
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record.	17:49:27
16	The time is 5:49.	
17	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
18	Q. Dr. Cody, earlier you you stated that N95	
19	masks were more effective than surgical masks;	
20	correct?	17:49:43
21	A. Yes.	
22	Q. Are N95 masks more effective than cloth	
23	masks?	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. Okay. Does the size does the color of a	17:49:55

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 80 of 113

		1
1	cloth mask make a difference? Does does one color	
2	increase the spread of COVID-19 more than another	
3	color cloth mask?	
4	MR. WALL: Objection. Scope.	
5	THE WITNESS: Not not to my knowledge.	17:50:12
6	The color of cloth in a cloth mask, does	
7	that matter?	
8	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
9	Q. Yeah.	
10	A. No.	17:50:20
11	Q. What were the findings of the Bangladesh	
12	study?	
13	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague.	
14	THE WITNESS: What what study are you	
15	referring to?	17:50:33
16	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
17	Q. The Bangladesh study.	
18	A. Are you referring to	
19	MR. WALL: Same objection.	
20	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	17:50:39
21	Q. The Bangladesh mask study.	
22	MR. WALL: Same objection.	
23	THE WITNESS: The the the study looked	
24	at community conditions and whether interventions to	
25	increase mask use and resultant increase in mask use	17:50:55

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 81 of 113

		1
1	made a difference	
2	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay.	
3	THE WITNESS: in in levels of COVID in	
4	a community, and masking lowered COVID	
5	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay.	17:51:13
6	THE WITNESS: levels.	
7	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
8	Q. Did it demonstrate that the red masks worked	
9	but or worked better than the purple masks?	
10	MR. WALL: Objection. Outside the scope.	17:51:23
11	You can answer the question to the extent	
12	you recall.	
13	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't remember	
14	details about colors of masks in that in that	
15	study.	17:51:35
16	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
17	Q. Okay. Are you aware or did you review	
18	any other articles that discussed biases in	
19	regarding the the the Bangladesh mask study?	
20	MR. WALL: Object to form. Outside the	17:51:55
21	scope.	
22	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.	
23	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
24	Q. You don't recall any any reviewing	
25	anything?	17:52:03

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 82 of 113

		-
1	A. I	
2	MR. WALL: Object to form.	
3	You can answer the question.	
4	THE WITNESS: I don't recall reading I	
5	don't recall reading particular follow-up regarding	17:52:14
6	that particular study.	
7	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
8	Q. Okay. You're not aware of anyone in the	
9	County discussing any of the flaws within the	
10	Bangladesh study?	17:52:50
11	MR. WALL: Objection. Outside the scope.	
12	THE WITNESS: I don't recall having	
13	discussions about limitations of the study with	
14	County colleagues.	
15	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	17:53:09
16	Q. Are you aware of of any flaws within the	
17	Bangladesh study?	
18	MR. WALL: Objection. Assumes facts.	
19	Outside the scope.	
20	THE WITNESS: Most studies publish methods,	17:53:24
21	results, conclusions, discussions, and limitations.	
22	It's fairly standard for a scientific report.	
23	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
24	Q. Do you know who a Jason Abaluck is?	
25	A. I do not.	17:53:44

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 83 of 113

		1
1	Q. Okay. That that name doesn't ring a bell	
2	at all in relation to the Bangladesh study?	
3	A. Jason Abaluck?	
4	Q. Uh-huh.	
5	A. No, but there were a number of authors on	17:53:58
6	that study, as I recall.	
7	Q. Okay. Well, what authors do you recall?	
8	MR. WALL: Objection. Outside the scope.	
9	Relevance.	
10	But you can answer the question.	17:54:10
11	THE WITNESS: I know one of the senior	
12	authors was Steve Luby. I can't recall the name of	
13	other other authors other than I do recall that	
14	there were a number of them because it was a large	
15	study.	17:54:28
16	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
17	Q. Okay. Did you do you recall reviewing	
18	any mask study that was conducted in Finland?	
19	MR. WALL: Object to form. Outside the	
20	scope.	17:54:50
21	THE WITNESS: I don't, no. Not	
22	specifically.	
23	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
24	Q. Do you recall in general?	
25	A. No.	17:55:00
		1

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 84 of 113

1	Q. Was there any other mask study that you	
2	recall reviewing at any time during the COVID-19	
3	pandemic regarding masks?	
4	MR. WALL: Object. Objection. Outside the	
5	scope. Asked and answered a bunch of times.	17:55:14
6	But you can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
7	THE WITNESS: Yeah. As I as I have	
8	mentioned several times, there are a number of	
9	different studies regarding masks, and they would	
10	range from studies from aerosol engineers looking at	17:55:29
11	how what happens when you breathe, talk, sing, shout,	
12	sneeze, cough, and the various sizes of particles	
13	emitted and how might they move, and ranging from	
14	that all the way to descriptive reports of outbreaks	
15	or super-spreader events commenting on level of mask	17:56:03
16	use in in the circumstances described.	
17	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
18	Q. Okay. What publications do you specifically	
19	recall?	
20	MR. WALL: Objection. Asked and answered.	17:56:22
21	Outside the scope.	
22	You can answer the question.	
23	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
24	Q. I'm going to go to a more specific question.	
25	Dr. Cody, are randomized studies considered	17:56:30

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 85 of 113

1	the gold standard for effective medical research?	
2	A. In many cases, it's not possible to conduct	
3	a randomized controlled trial. There may be a number	
4	of reasons why you can't randomize. So for a subset	
5	of questions of the infinite number of questions that	17:56:58
6	we might ask, you might be able to randomize; and for	
7	some, you you can't for a variety of of	
8	reasons.	
9	Q. Sure. Okay. But you didn't answer my	
10	question.	17:57:13
11	I'm aware that there may be times that you	
12	can't randomize studies. What I'm saying is, are	
13	randomized studies the gold standard for effective	
14	medical research?	
15	MR. WALL: Objection. Outside the scope.	17:57:24
16	Vague.	
17	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't I don't think	
18	I can really answer that. It's so context-dependent.	
19	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
20	Q. Are randomized studies the most effective	17:57:33
21	research tool for new treatment?	
22	MR. WALL: Objection. Outside the scope and	
23	vague.	
24	THE WITNESS: For clinical treatment? For	
25	population studies? For I don't know are	17:57:44

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 86 of 113

	1
you	
BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
Q. For let's say for viruses.	
MR. WALL: Ms. Gondeiro, please let the	
witness finish her question before you ask the next	17:57:53
one. Please don't interrupt her.	
THE WITNESS: So a study of	
MR. WALL: And the same and I'm going to	
interrupt you, Dr. Cody, to assert my objection.	
The same objection. Outside the scope and	17:58:03
vague.	
THE WITNESS: So studies of viruses. A	
study of virus could be everything from in a	
laboratory to see how viruses behave in a laboratory,	
to see how they behave in an animal population, or a	17:58:24
study of how a virus moves in a community or a study	
of antiviral treatments in patients in a clinic.	
So that's a pretty infinite universe, study	
of viruses.	
BY MS. GONDEIRO:	17:58:53
Q. Okay. Are are randomized studies more	
effective than nonrandomized studies regarding the	
research of viruses?	
MR. WALL: Objection. Outside the scope.	
Incomplete hypothetical. Vague.	17:59:06
	BY MS. GONDEIRO: Q. For let's say for viruses. MR. WALL: Ms. Gondeiro, please let the witness finish her question before you ask the next one. Please don't interrupt her. THE WITNESS: So a study of MR. WALL: And the same and I'm going to interrupt you, Dr. Cody, to assert my objection. The same objection. Outside the scope and vague. THE WITNESS: So studies of viruses. A study of virus could be everything from in a laboratory to see how viruses behave in a laboratory, to see how they behave in an animal population, or a study of how a virus moves in a community or a study of antiviral treatments in patients in a clinic. So that's a pretty infinite universe, study of viruses. BY MS. GONDEIRO: Q. Okay. Are are randomized studies more effective than nonrandomized studies regarding the research of viruses? MR. WALL: Objection. Outside the scope.

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 87 of 113

1	THE WITNESS: Yeah. That's not a question I	
2	can answer because it more has to do not with that	
3	it's a virus but whether it's a study to understand	
4	the benefit of medical intervention, like a	
5	treatment, or whether it's to understand community	17:59:22
6	conditions or whether it's to understand a laboratory	
7	animal. So I I don't have I'm not able to	
8	to answer that question. I apologize.	
9	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
10	Q. Okay. Are I'll be more specific.	17:59:41
11	Are randomized studies more effective a	
12	more effective tool research tool than	
13	nonrandomized studies for the research of new	
14	treatment?	
15	A. So	18:00:02
16	MR. WALL: I'm sorry. I'm sorry, Dr. Cody,	
17	I was on mute.	
18	Objection. Outside the scope. Vague.	
19	Incomplete hypothetical. And relevance.	
20	But you can answer the question.	18:00:09
21	THE WITNESS: Okay. Can can you	
22	repeat can you repeat the question? I'm listening	
23	for something to see if	
24	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
25	Q. Well, you mentioned treatment earlier	18:00:17

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 88 of 113

1		1
1	A. Uh-huh.	
2	Q is that correct?	
3	A. Yes.	
4	Q. New treatment.	
5	Okay. So what I'm asking you, are	18:00:22
6	randomized studies more effective than nonrandomized	
7	study studies for new treatment?	
8	MR. WALL: Same objections.	
9	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Honestly, it's so	
10	broad. So if you're trying to understand whether a	18:00:42
11	specific treatment for a specific condition is better	
12	than no treatment, if you are able to randomize	
13	and and randomly put the treatment-eligible group	
14	into one pot or the other and they're blinded so they	
15	don't know, yes, you can you can get results	18:01:08
16	that that are more believable.	
17	But the the context is quite important	
18	to to know. So I can't I can't I can't give	
19	you a blanket a blanket answer. It's a it's	
20	about the question that you're asking. The question	18:01:28
21	that the researcher is asking is is quite	
22	important.	
23	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
24	Q. Okay. Are you aware, though, of just people	
25	in the medical field, you know, saying that, you	18:01:41
		i e

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 89 of 113

1		1
1	know, randomized studies are the gold standard? Are	
2	you aware of them saying that?	
3	MR. WALL: Objection. Outside the scope.	
4	Vague.	
5	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	18:01:51
6	Q. In what context would they	
7	MR. WALL: Relevance.	
8	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
9	Q. In what context would one say that	
10	randomized studies are are a more effective or	18:01:55
11	are the gold standard for research?	
12	MR. WALL: Objection. Outside the scope.	
13	Relevance. Vague.	
14	THE WITNESS: So, for example, in clinical	
15	research, if you're trying to understand whether a	18:02:15
16	particular antihypertensive treatment is more	
17	effective than another antihypertensive treatment,	
18	you would want to have two groups who are as equal as	
19	possible in age and risk factor and other lifestyle	
20	factors and body mass index and gender and, you know,	18:02:41
21	is equal as in many ways, and then you could	
22	randomize them.	
23	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
24	Q. Okay. When you when you say	
25	"hypertensive re-" "treatment," what do you mean	18:02:55
		l

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 90 of 113

1	by that?	
2	A. If someone has high blood pressure.	
3	Q. Okay. What if someone has COVID-19?	
4	MR. WALL: Object to form.	
5	THE WITNESS: I'm having difficulty	18:03:12
6	following your questions. I I apologize.	
7	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
8	Q. Okay. So you just mentioned hypertensive	
9	treatment. Okay? So now I'm talking about COVID-19	
10	treatment. Okay?	18:03:29
11	Are randomized studies in regards to	
12	COVID-19 treatment more effective a more effective	
13	research tool than nonrandomized studies?	
14	MR. WALL: Object. Objection. Object to	
15	form. Outside the scope. Relevance. Vague.	18:03:44
16	Incomplete hypothetical.	
17	You can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
18	THE WITNESS: So when when COVID	
19	treatments came online, were the tri I don't	
20	recall whether they were randomized controlled trials	18:04:06
21	for the COVID treatments that that came online.	
22	Honestly, I I just don't recall.	
23	MS. GONDEIRO: That doesn't that doesn't	
24	answer my question.	
25	Court Reporter, can you please repeat my	18:04:20

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 91 of 113

		1
1	question?	
2	(Record read.)	
3	MR. WALL: Same objections.	
4	THE WITNESS: I guess I want to understand	
5	what you mean by "more effective research tool."	18:04:56
6	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
7	Q. Are they more accurate?	
8	MR. WALL: Same objections.	
9	THE WITNESS: Are they more accurate at	
10	at at what?	18:05:09
11	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
12	Q. At determining at determining well,	
13	whatever the topic of that study would be regarding	
14	COVID-19 treatment. I mean	
15	A. Right. So	18:05:25
16	MR. WALL: Same same objections.	
17	Counsel, the day is growing late, and we're	
18	talking about COVID-19 research	
19	MS. GONDEIRO: No, I know I	
20	MR. WALL: and the COVID-19 treatment,	18:05:35
21	which has no bearing on this case whatsoever.	
22	MS. GONDEIRO: Sure.	
23	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
24	Q. Are there any circumstances related to the	
25	COVID-19 virus where you believe a randomized study	18:05:45

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 92 of 113

		1
1	would be better than a nonrandomized study?	
2	MR. WALL: Objection. Beyond the scope.	
3	Vague. Relevance.	
4	You can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
5	THE WITNESS: The study that asked the	18:05:59
6	question about the benefits of community-wide mask	
7	use that was done in Bangladesh asked a question, and	
8	randomized community groups this is as I recall,	
9	so this is broad and was able to show that groups	
10	that had a masking intervention had less COVID than	18:06:25
11	groups that did not have a masking intervention.	
12	And and and that was enormously	
13	helpful especially because it was a large study, and	
14	it was measuring conditions real conditions on the	
15	ground, how people behave in in real life.	18:06:48
16	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay.	
17	THE WITNESS: So, yes, that was	
18	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
19	Q. Are there any other studies you can recall?	
20	Any other randomized studies I mean.	18:07:01
21	MR. WALL: Object to form.	
22	THE WITNESS: I can there are many, you	
23	know, many randomized controlled studies in the	
24	universe of publications in the in the medical	
25	literature, many of which I might have come across	18:07:16
		Ī

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 93 of 113

1		1
1	during my medical training or in the years since.	
2	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
3	Q. Are there any other randomized studies that	
4	you're aware of regarding with regards to COVID-19	
5	and masks solely?	18:07:30
6	MR. WALL: Object. Object to form.	
7	But you can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
8	Sorry.	
9	THE WITNESS: Yes. This study is the one	
10	that that I most remember because it was	18:07:40
11	remarkable in both its size and in the fact that it	
12	was studies under under real real conditions in	
13	which people live.	
14	So it would it was helpful in that in	
15	that regard because it was large and community based.	18:07:59
16	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
17	Q. Do you recall the Danish study that was	
18	conducted by the American College of Physicians	
19	around the summer of 2020?	
20	A. I I do not.	18:08:17
21	Q. Okay.	
22	A. And the American College of Physicians	
23	wouldn't probably be conducting a study.	
24	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay. Dan, can you pull up	
25	the last exhibit?	18:08:29

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 94 of 113

1	(Exhibit 28 was marked for identification.)	
2	MR. WALL: Is this Exhibit 28?	
3	MS. GONDEIRO: Yes. I guess it's	
4	THE WITNESS: I don't see Exhibit 28 in the	
5	chat.	18:08:52
6	MR. WALL: It's Dr. Cody, for your	
7	reference, I think it's labeled "Danish study.pdf" in	
8	the chat.	
9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Correct.	
10	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	18:09:01
11	Okay. I have it. I have it pulled up.	
12	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
13	Q. Does this study look familiar to you?	
14	You can I mean, if you need a couple	
15	minutes to review it, you can. It is the	18:09:29
16	"Effectiveness of Adding a Mask Recommendation to	
17	Other Public Health Measures to Prevent SARS-CoV-2	
18	Infection in Danish Mask Wearers."	
19	A. Thank you.	
20	I I don't recall reading the study. I've	18:10:17
21	been able to scan the first page and the second page.	
22	Q. Okay. Okay. Just to confirm, you never	
23	you never reviewed this study?	
24	A. I	
25	MR. WALL: Objection. Misstates testimony.	18:10:36

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 95 of 113

		1
1	THE WITNESS: I don't recall looking	
2	reviewing this study.	
3	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
4	Q. Okay. But after after looking at it now,	
5	do you believe that you would have reviewed this	18:10:49
6	study?	
7	MR. WALL: Objection. Calls for	
8	speculation.	
9	THE WITNESS: I don't know. As I as I	
10	mentioned, there was really an explosion of	18:10:58
11	publications regarding COVID during the pandemic.	
12	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
13	Q. Well, in specific to randomized control	
14	controlled trials.	
15	MR. WALL: Is there a question?	18:11:16
16	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
17	Q. Do you after after reviewing this	
18	this this study, do you believe you that you	
19	reviewed it during the summer of 2020?	
20	MR. WALL: Objection. Outside the scope.	18:11:29
21	Calls for speculation.	
22	THE WITNESS: As I mentioned, I I I	
23	don't recall whether I reviewed the study or did not.	
24	And just now, I was able to scan the first and second	
25	page of the exhibit, but but I have not read the	18:11:44

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 96 of 113

	1
study.	
BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
Q. You have not read the study ever? Is that	
what you mean?	
MR. WALL: Objection. Misstates testimony.	18:11:56
Calls for speculation.	
THE WITNESS: I don't recall whether I have	
reviewed this study in the past.	
BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
Q. Okay. Well, after reviewing or scanning	18:12:05
through the documents or scanning through the	
do you know, generally, what the findings are of this	
study?	
MR. WALL: Let the record reflect that this	
is a 23-page study with what looks like 24	18:12:19
34 pages, excuse me, of comments or responses to the	
study. I don't know if any person on Earth could	
have digested the study in the time that Dr. Cody has	
been provided.	
BY MS. GONDEIRO:	18:12:35
Q. I'll give you more time to review it to	
confirm, if you need that, whether you have reviewed	
this study, if you need a couple more minutes.	
A. Yeah, I I've scanned the first two pages,	
and I don't recall reviewing I don't recall	18:12:49
	BY MS. GONDEIRO: Q. You have not read the study ever? Is that what you mean? MR. WALL: Objection. Misstates testimony. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I don't recall whether I have reviewed this study in the past. BY MS. GONDEIRO: Q. Okay. Well, after reviewing or scanning through the documents or scanning through the do you know, generally, what the findings are of this study? MR. WALL: Let the record reflect that this is a 23-page study with what looks like 24 34 pages, excuse me, of comments or responses to the study. I don't know if any person on Earth could have digested the study in the time that Dr. Cody has been provided. BY MS. GONDEIRO: Q. I'll give you more time to review it to confirm, if you need that, whether you have reviewed this study, if you need a couple more minutes. A. Yeah, I I've scanned the first two pages,

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 97 of 113

1	whether I reviewed this study or not.	
2	Q. If you want to scroll through more pages,	
3	you can do that as well to see if you will remember	
4	reviewing this document or this study.	
5	MR. WALL: Is there is there a question	18:13:09
6	pending that I can evaluate for potential objections?	
7	MS. GONDEIRO: No. I'm just allowing her to	
8	refresh her memory.	
9	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I I	
10	MR. WALL: Dr. Cody, there's no question	18:13:23
11	pending.	
12	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
13	Q. Okay. Do you need any more time, or are you	
14	still not able to remember reviewing this study?	
15	A. I don't recall it.	18:14:13
16	MR. WALL: Object. Objection. Outside the	
17	scope. Calls for speculation. Relevance.	
18	But you can answer the question that	
19	Dr that Ms. Gondeiro just asked, to the extent	
20	you understand it.	18:14:25
21	THE WITNESS: I I don't recall whether I	
22	did or didn't review this study, and I am not able to	
23	review the study in the last five minutes. I can't	
24	read that fast.	
25	////	18:14:41

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 98 of 113

1	scope and irrelevant.	
2	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
3	Q. You can answer, Dr. Cody.	
4	A. So I would have had conversations with	
5	people outside the County, and they would have been	18:33:42
6	from various sectors. So the likelihood or chance	
7	that I would have spoken with someone who was not in	
8	the academic sector, not in the government sector,	
9	but was in the private sector, which I think would	
10	mean "any company," sure, I probably spoke to people	18:33:59
11	that were outside the academic or governmental sector	
12	and would have worked at a company.	
13	Q. Who well, who do you recall?	
14	A. I don't recall.	
15	Q. Do you recall it being anyone in a	18:34:12
16	technology company?	
17	A. I don't recall.	
18	Q. Okay. But you re you do recall that you	
19	spoke to someone at a company. You just don't recall	
20	the specifics?	18:34:27
21	MR. WALL: Objection. Misstates testimony.	
22	Calls for speculation.	
23	You can answer this question.	
24	THE WITNESS: In a two-and-a-half-year	
25	period, the likelihood that I would have spoken to	18:34:37
		ĺ

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 99 of 113

1	someone who was not a County person, not in the	
2	governmental sector, or not in the academic sector	
3	would be close to a hundred percent probability that	
4	I would have had a conversation with someone who	
5	worked at a company.	18:34:55
6	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay. Dan, how much time do	
7	we have left? Dan?	
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Ten minutes. Ten	
9	minutes. Sorry.	
10	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	18:35:13
11	Q. Dr. Cody, I'm going to give you can pull	
12	up the exhibit again.	
13	A. Which exhibit?	
14	Q. The last exhibit.	
15	MR. WALL: The Danish study?	18:35:23
16	THE WITNESS: The Danish study?	
17	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
18	Q. The Danish study.	
19	Okay. So this Exhibit 28 is titled the	
20	"Effectiveness of Adding a Mask Recommendation to	18:35:44
21	Other Public Health Measures to Prevent SARS-CoV-2	
22	Infection in Danish Mask Wearers."	
23	Dr. Cody, I'm going to give you seven	
24	minutes to review this this randomized controlled	
25	style trial regarding Danish mask wearers to see	18:36:03

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 100 of 113

1	if you remember reviewing it.	
2	MR. WALL: Mark please mark the	
3	transcript. This is an abusive attempt to keep the	
4	witness here until the tail end of the time allotted	
5	to Plaintiffs' counsel under the rules. It's wholly	18:36:17
6	inappropriate. It's a waste of everyone's time. And	
7	I reserve the right to bring this to the magistrate	
8	at an appropriate time to discuss this discovery	
9	abuse.	
10	MS. GONDEIRO: This is not a discovery	18:36:31
11	abuse. This is a very important study and an actual	
12	significant study. So I'm actually being respectful	
13	and accommodating to allow her enough time to to	
14	review this study because this is a very important	
15	study for Plaintiffs.	18:36:44
16	MR. WALL: We we can we can let the	
17	magistrate review your comments on the record about	
18	ten minutes remaining and then giving her seven	
19	minutes to review.	
20	MS. GONDEIRO: She can	18:36:54
21	MR. WALL: The instructions speak for	
22	themselves, and we reserve all rights.	
23	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
24	Q. Okay. Dr. Cody, you don't need to take the	
25	full seven minutes. If you if you, at any point	18:37:00
		1

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 101 of 113

		1
1	before that, recall the study, you can let me know at	
2	any at any point you recall the study.	
3	MR. WALL: That doesn't okay. That	
4	doesn't mitigate the abuse.	
5	So she's supposed to sit here for seven	18:37:11
6	minutes reading it, and after and if, at any point	
7	up before the seven minutes is up, she recalls,	
8	she's report to back; and if not, we're just supposed	
9	to sit here for seven minutes while she stares at	
10	this document?	18:37:26
11	MS. GONDEIRO: Yes. I'm giving her adequate	
12	time to be able to review this document to see if she	
13	re	
14	MR. WALL: So noted. Objections so	
15	reserved.	18:37:35
16	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay.	
17	MR. WALL: Mr. DeFrank, can you please tell	
18	us when the seven arbitrary minutes are up and we	
19	have three minutes remaining	
20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sure.	18:38:07
21	MR. WALL: in the deposition for	
22	Plaintiffs' counsel?	
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sure.	
24	MR. WALL: Thank you, sir.	
25	MS. GONDEIRO: Dan, can you please advise	18:39:18

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 102 of 113

		1
1	when there is one minute left?	
2	MR. WALL: One minute of the seven?	
3	MS. GONDEIRO: No. I believe it's one	
4	minute of eight.	
5	MR. WALL: What? I don't even know what	18:39:35
6	MS. GONDEIRO: One minute left of the seven,	
7	yes.	
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. We're there.	
9	MS. GONDEIRO: How much time do we have	
10	left, Dan?	18:42:22
11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We actually have two	
12	minutes.	
13	MS. GONDEIRO: Two minutes total for the	
14	entire deposition?	
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Correct.	18:42:31
16	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay. So about seven minutes	
17	have already passed?	
18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yep.	
19	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
20	Q. Okay. Well, after the seven minutes,	18:42:36
21	Dr. Cody, does does reviewing this Danish study	
22	refresh your memory whether you had previously read	
23	this study?	
24	MR. WALL: Objection. Outside the scope.	
25	Asked and answered. Abuse of process. I move to	18:42:53

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 103 of 113

1		1
1	strike this testimony and the question, the testimony	
2	that any testimony that follows and the question	
3	that precedes it.	
4	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
5	Q. You can still answer the question,	18:43:07
6	Dr. Cody.	
7	A. I I don't recall having reviewed this	
8	study prior to just now.	
9	MR. WALL: I hope it was an interesting read	
10	for the past seven minutes, Dr. Cody.	18:43:17
11	THE WITNESS: I didn't get very far.	
12	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay. Thank you.	
13	MR. WALL: We have some questions for the	
14	witness.	
15	EXAMINATION	18:43:30
16	BY MR. WALL:	
17	Q. Dr. Cody, is it the County's view that the	
18	ability of its of its community members or the	
19	residents of the County their ability to exercise	
20	their right to participate in religion is a	18:43:43
21	fundamental right?	
22	A. Yes.	
23	MR. WALL: I'm going to show you a document.	
24	It will be marked as Exhibit 29. It's in the chat	
25	feed. It's labeled "8 - Appendix C-2: Additional"	18:43:53
		1

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 104 of 113

1		
1	something.	
2	(Exhibit 29 was marked for identification.)	
3	BY MR. WALL:	
4	Q. If you want to take a look at that.	
5	Can you open that up, or can you take a	18:44:03
6	look?	
7	A. I can I can see it on the screen.	
8	Q. This is it's labeled "Appendix C-2:	
9	Allowed Additional Activities Effective June 5,	
10	2020."	18:44:14
11	Are you aware? Did the County issue an	
12	order on or about June 5th, 2020, with respect to	
13	shelter in place?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. Do you recall generally what that order did	18:44:20
16	or said?	
17	A. This was a revision of an earlier	
18	shelter-in-place order that had a number of changes	
19	and a few appendices for further clarification.	
20	Q. Now, this is Appendix C-2 to that order; is	18:44:37
21	that correct?	
22	A. Yes.	
23	Q. If you if you go down	
24	MR. WALL: I am how is there a way	
25	I don't know how to scroll.	18:44:47

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 105 of 113

		1
1	Can you oh, thank you. Thank you.	
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I can do it. I can do	
3	it.	
4	MR. WALL: Yeah, if you could scroll down to	
5	page 4.	18:44:54
6	Thank you, sir.	
7	BY MR. WALL:	
8	Q. Do you see Section 5 there, Dr. Cody? It	
9	refers to "Small Outdoor Ceremonies and Religious	
10	Gatherings."	18:45:05
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. Under "(a) Basis for Addition," the appendix	
13	reads, "Although ceremonies and religious gatherings	
14	carry a substantial risk of transmission, they are	
15	vital to people's social and spiritual well-being."	18:45:15
16	Does that accurately communicate the	
17	County's understanding that religious ceremonies	
18	ceremonies and religious gatherings are vital to its	
19	residents' social and spiritual well-being?	
20	A. Yes, very much.	18:45:32
21	Q. Thank you.	
22	MR. WALL: You can take the exhibit down.	
23	Thank you.	
24	BY MR. WALL:	
25	Q. Dr. Cody, do you recall testifying earlier	18:45:40

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 106 of 113

1	today about the factors that contribute to COVID-19	
2	transmission? In particular, Ms. Gondeiro asked you	
3	questions about the factors that contribute to the	
4	likelihood that someone might inhale a viral particle	
5	of related to COVID-19?	18:45:58
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. The factors that contribute to transmission	
8	or inhalation of viral particles, does that include	
9	duration of exposure?	
10	A. Yes, most definitely.	18:46:09
11	Q. Thank you, Dr. Cody.	
12	One question regarding do you recall	
13	testifying earlier regarding the application of the	
14	COVID-19 the County's COVID-19 rules to protest	
15	activity?	18:46:21
16	A. Yes.	
17	Q. Did all of the County's COVID-19 rules apply	
18	to protests?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. Thank you, Dr. Cody.	18:46:31
21	Final questions.	
22	We talked you obviously you lead a	
23	team at the Public Health Department for the County	
24	of Santa Clara; is that correct?	
25	A. That's correct.	18:46:42

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 107 of 113

1	Q. How how large is that team? How many	
2	people on it?	
3	A. Hundreds.	
4	Q. And can you briefly describe the categories	
5	of expertise of your team members?	18:46:51
6	A. They would cover a range from	
7	epidemiologists and other analysts that would be	
8	looking at incoming data to clean, analyze,	
9	interpret, and present. They include teams that	
10	would be investigating cases and contacts, teams that	18:47:16
11	would be supporting long-term care facilities, a	
12	health care branch that would be supporting	
13	hospitals, a pharmacy team managing therapeutics and	
14	vaccines, a very large communications team helping to	
15	ensure that information was updated and accurate and	18:47:43
16	presented to the public. Other teams in charge of	
17	technical content for particular groups. Other teams	
18	working specifically with schools. Many teams.	
19	Q. Now, were those teams and the individuals on	
20	them were they responsible for making	18:48:10
21	presentations to you regarding the areas of their	
22	expertise?	
23	A. Yes, they were.	
24	Q. Were they was were they or any of	
25	those teams or the individuals on those teams	18:48:21

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 108 of 113

1	responsible for analyzing or processing or otherwise	
2	presenting to you published scientific data and	
3	reports regarding COVID-19 during the pandemic?	
4	A. Yes.	
5	Q. Did they help synthesize this information	18:48:37
6	for you, the scientific data and published reports?	
7	A. Yes, because there was so much data and	
8	publications coming in on a wide variety of topics.	
9	So I did rely on teams to digest and synthesize and	
10	present information to me.	18:48:57
11	Q. So you weren't the only one reading,	10.10.37
12	analyzing, and processing published science and	
13	reports regarding COVID-19 at the County; is that	
14	correct?	
		10.40.00
15	A. That is correct.	18:49:08
16	Q. Is is was the was were the	
17	published public health orders and directives issued	
18	by the County of Santa Clara to address the public	
19	health emergency that was COVID-19 were those	
20	orders and directives based solely on your personal	18:49:23
21	knowledge regarding the science and data regarding	
22	COVID-19?	
23	A. I relied on on hundreds of people to	
24	gather and collate and information and to inform	
25	the decisions that I made.	18:49:41

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 109 of 113

		1
1	MR. WALL: Thank you, Dr. Cody. I have no	
2	further questions.	
3	MS. GONDEIRO: I would I would like to	
4	follow up with that.	
5	MR. WALL: You don't have you don't	18:49:51
6	have you've used your seven hours. I object to	
7	any further questions, particularly the abusive end	
8	of your seven hours, and I'm going to instruct the	
9	witness not to answer.	
10	Well, how many questions do you have,	18:50:01
11	Mariah?	
12	MS. GONDEIRO: Oh, no. Actually, I don't	
13	need it. I I forgot that I didn't have I	
14	for some reason, I thought that I was allowed after	
15	your after your questions	18:50:10
16	MR. WALL: Well, if you if you have a	
17	question, you can ask a question, but that you	
18	know, how many questions do you have?	
19	MS. GONDEIRO: I just have a couple	
20	questions related to protests.	18:50:21
21	MR. WALL: Go ahead, please.	
22	FURTHER EXAMINATION	
23	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
24	Q. During the summer of 2020, were you aware	
25	that there were protesters not social distancing at	18:50:30
		1

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 110 of 113

```
1
     all times?
              MR. WALL: Objection. Vaque as to time
 2
             Scope. Relevance.
 3
     frame.
 4
              You can answer the question.
 5
              THE WITNESS: There were protests in the
                                                              18:50:41
 6
     summer of 2020.
 7
     BY MS. GONDEIRO:
 8
         0.
              And are you aware of those protests during
 9
     the summer of 2020 -- that protesters were not always
10
     socially distancing?
                                                              18:50:52
              MR. WALL: Same objections.
11
12
              THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe that
13
     protesters were not always 6 feet from one another.
14
     BY MS. GONDEIRO:
15
                                                              18:51:01
              Okay. And are you aware, during that same
16
     time period of the summer of 2020, that protesters
17
     were not always wearing a mask?
18
         A.
              That is correct. They -- some were not
19
     masked, and some were not distanced. As I'm aware, I
     believe all of them were outside.
2.0
                                                              18:51:21
21
              MS. GONDEIRO: Okay. That's all. Thank
22
     you.
23
              THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Before everybody
24
     leaves, make sure you give the orders to Michelle and
25
     myself.
                                                              18:51:37
```

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 111 of 113

1		1
1	And this concludes	
2	MR. WALL: Before before no. Before	
3	the deposition concludes, we'd like our opportunity	
4	under the federal rules to review the deposition	
5	transcript before it's finalized.	18:51:44
6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. I will take us off	
7	the record.	
8	This concludes today's deposition of	
9	Dr. Sara Cody on August 18th, 2022. Total number of	
10	media units are four. The video masters of today's	18:51:59
11	deposition will remain in the custody of Media	
12	Frontier Video Productions.	
13	Going off the record. The time is 6:52.	
14	MR. WALL: Thank you.	
15	THE REPORTER: Mr. Wall, do you want the	18:52:18
16	same order that you had last time?	
17	MR. WALL: The same yes. Yes, please.	
18	Thank you, Ms. Knowles.	
19	THE REPORTER: You're welcome.	
20	(The deposition proceedings were	
21	concluded at 6:52 P.M.	
22	Declaration under Penalty of Perjury on	
23	the following page hereof.)	
24		
25		

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 112 of 113

1	DECLARATION OF WITNESS UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY
2	
3	I, SARA H. CODY, M.D., hereby declare I am
4	the deponent in the within matter; that I have read
5	the foregoing transcript and made any corrections,
6	additions, or changes, if any, on the errata sheet.
7	The testimony is now a full, true, and correct
8	transcript of my testimony.
9	I declare under the penalties of perjury of
10	the State of California that the foregoing is true
11	and correct.
12	
13	
14	Executed this day of
14 15	
	Executed this day of 20, at, (City) (State)
15	
15 16	20, at
15 16 17	
15 16 17 18	20, at
15 16 17 18 19	20, at
15 16 17 18 19	20, at
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	20, at
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	20, at
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	20, at

Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-3 Filed 09/16/22 Page 113 of 113

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
2) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA)
3	
4	I, MICHELLE D. KNOWLES, CSR No. 8979 and
5	Deposition Officer in the State of California, do
6	hereby certify that prior to being examined, the
7	witness in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn to
8	testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
9	the truth;
10	That the testimony of the witness and all
11	objections made at the time of the examination were
12	recorded stenographically by me;
13	That the foregoing transcript is a true
14	record of the testimony given and all objections made
15	at the time of the examination.
16	Pursuant to Rule 30(e) of the Federal Rules
17	of Civil Procedure, a request was made for review and
18	signature by the witness.
19	
20	Dated: August 30, 2022
21	WA. W. DV
22	Michelle) Gerowlas
23	Michelle D. Knowles, California CSR No. 8979
24	
25	